



# Acidity of persulfides and its modulation by the protein environments in sulfide quinone oxidoreductase and thiosulfate sulfurtransferase

Received for publication, October 22, 2023, and in revised form, February 12, 2024. Published, Papers in Press, March 11, 2024.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbc.2024.107149>

Dayana Benchoam<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Ernesto Cuevasanta<sup>1,2,4,5</sup> , Joseph V. Roman<sup>6</sup> , Ruma Banerjee<sup>6</sup> , and Beatriz Alvarez<sup>1,2,\*</sup>

From the <sup>1</sup>Laboratorio de Enzimología, Instituto de Química Biológica, Facultad de Ciencias, <sup>2</sup>Centro de Investigaciones Biomédicas (CEINBIO), <sup>3</sup>Graduate Program in Chemistry, Facultad de Química, <sup>4</sup>Unidad de Bioquímica Analítica, Centro de Investigaciones Nucleares, Facultad de Ciencias, and <sup>5</sup>Laboratorio de Fisiología Biológica, Instituto de Química Biológica, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de la República, Montevideo, Uruguay; <sup>6</sup>Department of Biological Chemistry, University of Michigan Medical School, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA

Reviewed by members of the JBC Editorial Board. Edited by Joan B. Broderick

Persulfides (RSSH/RSS<sup>−</sup>) participate in sulfur metabolism and are proposed to transduce hydrogen sulfide (H<sub>2</sub>S) signaling. Their biochemical properties are poorly understood. Herein, we studied the acidity and nucleophilicity of several low molecular weight persulfides using the alkylating agent, monobromobimane. The different persulfides presented similar pK<sub>a</sub> values (4.6–6.3) and pH-independent rate constants (3.2–9.0 × 10<sup>3</sup> M<sup>−1</sup> s<sup>−1</sup>), indicating that the substituents in persulfides affect properties to a lesser extent than in thiols because of the larger distance to the outer sulfur. The persulfides had higher reactivity with monobromobimane than analogous thiols and putative thiols with the same pK<sub>a</sub>, providing evidence for the alpha effect (enhanced nucleophilicity by the presence of a contiguous atom with high electron density). Additionally, we investigated two enzymes from the human mitochondrial H<sub>2</sub>S oxidation pathway that form catalytic persulfide intermediates, sulfide quinone oxidoreductase and thiosulfate sulfurtransferase (TST, rhodanese). The pH dependence of the activities of both enzymes was measured using sulfite and/or cyanide as sulfur acceptors. The TST half-reactions were also studied by stopped-flow fluorescence spectroscopy. Both persulfidated enzymes relied on protonated groups for reaction with the acceptors. Persulfidated sulfide quinone oxidoreductase appeared to have a pK<sub>a</sub> of 7.8 ± 0.2. Persulfidated TST presented a pK<sub>a</sub> of 9.38 ± 0.04, probably due to a critical active site residue rather than the persulfide itself. The TST thiol reacted in the anionic state with thiosulfate, with an apparent pK<sub>a</sub> of 6.5 ± 0.1. Overall, our study contributes to a fundamental understanding of persulfide properties and their modulation by protein environments.

Persulfides are compounds with the general formula RSSH/RSS<sup>−</sup>. Unlike thiols (RSH/RS<sup>−</sup>) and hydrogen sulfide (H<sub>2</sub>S/HS<sup>−</sup>), persulfides possess a sulfane sulfur atom, that is, a sulfur bonded to either two sulfurs or to a sulfur and an ionizable hydrogen (1). The term *persulfide* is used in this text for the

mixture of hydropersulfide (RSSH) and persulfide anion (RSS<sup>−</sup>) in aqueous solution.

Prominent roles have been assigned to persulfides in biological systems; they participate in sulfur trafficking, biosynthesis and catabolism, and are considered potential transducers of the beneficial physiological effects of H<sub>2</sub>S in mammals (2–4). They are endogenously synthesized through H<sub>2</sub>S-dependent and H<sub>2</sub>S-independent pathways. The reaction of H<sub>2</sub>S with an oxidized thiol derivative, such as disulfide, sulfenic acid, or trisulfide, gives a persulfide in addition to a thiol, water, or another persulfide, respectively (5). Additionally, thiols can react with oxidized derivatives of H<sub>2</sub>S, such as thiosulfate (SSO<sub>3</sub><sup>2−</sup>), persulfides, and polysulfides (HS<sub>n</sub>SH, RS<sub>n</sub>SSH, RS<sub>n</sub>SSR, n ≥ 1) to form persulfides (6). The transfer of sulfur from persulfides to thiols to form new persulfides at the attacking thiol is called transpersulfidation. Other routes for persulfide formation involve free radical-mediated processes (7). Regarding H<sub>2</sub>S-independent pathways, there are several enzymes capable of producing persulfides with sulfur donated by thiols or disulfides (8–10). Persulfides can occur in low molecular weight (LMW) compounds as well as in cysteine residues of proteins. In this sense, micromolar levels of glutathione persulfide (GSSH), cysteine persulfide (CysSSH), and protein persulfides have been reported (10–12).

The extra sulfur in persulfides in comparison with thiols confers unique properties. Protonated persulfides (RSSH) ionize in aqueous solution to give the corresponding anionic species (RSS<sup>−</sup>). LMW persulfides have been found to be more acidic than the analogous thiols due to their weaker S–H bond (13–15). For example, the apparent pK<sub>a</sub> of GSSH is 5.45 (13) while that of glutathione (GSH) is 8.94 (16). Thus, at physiological pH, GSSH is almost completely ionized to GSS<sup>−</sup> but GSH is mostly protonated. Moreover, persulfides possess enhanced nucleophilicity compared to thiols at physiological pH, which results from the combination of two factors. The first factor is the availability of the anionic species (which is a better nucleophile than the protonated one), and the second factor is the high nucleophilic reactivity of the anionic species due to the alpha effect (13), which is caused by the presence of

\* For correspondence: Beatriz Alvarez, [beatriz.alvarez@fcien.edu.uy](mailto:beatriz.alvarez@fcien.edu.uy).


high electron density in the atom adjacent to the nucleophilic atom (17, 18). Furthermore, unlike thiols, persulfides are also electrophilic. Both sulfur atoms are susceptible, and depending on the site of the nucleophilic attack,  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$  or thiol is eliminated. Given the dual reactivity, persulfides decay in aqueous solution. Hence, *in vitro* preparations of LMW persulfide also contain other species such as thiols,  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$ , disulfides, and polysulfides (13, 19). Considering that electrophilicity is mainly ascribed to the protonated species, whose abundance is predicted to be low at physiological pH based on the low  $\text{pK}_\text{a}$ s reported for LMW persulfides, persulfides are expected to play roles as nucleophiles in biological systems, as in the reaction between GSSH and the enzyme persulfide dioxygenase (or ETHE1). However, some protein persulfides play prominent roles as electrophiles, for example, in the catalytic cycles of the mitochondrial  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$  oxidation enzymes, sulfide quinone oxidoreductase (SQOR, EC 1.8.5.8), and thiosulfate sulfurtransferase (TST, also called rhodanese, EC 2.8.1.1).

SQOR catalyzes the first step of the  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$  oxidation pathway in mitochondria. This step consists of the transfer of sulfur from  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$  to a LMW thiophilic acceptor with the concomitant formation of reduced coenzyme  $\text{Q}_{10}$  ( $\text{CoQ}_{10}$ ), which then enters the electron transport chain (20). Human SQOR is a flavoenzyme with a cysteine trisulfide ( $\text{Cys}_{379}\text{-S-S-S-Cys}_{201}$ ) in the active site (21, 22). Three substrates are involved in its activity;  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$  as sulfur donor, a sulfur acceptor, and  $\text{CoQ}_{10}$ . The proposed mechanism (21) begins with the attack of  $\text{HS}^-$  on the trisulfide to form two persulfides, one at  $\text{Cys}_{379}$  and another at  $\text{Cys}_{201}$ , which forms a transient charge transfer (CT) complex with the FAD cofactor (Fig. 1A, reaction a). The persulfide in  $\text{Cys}_{379}$  is attacked by a thiophilic acceptor that extracts the sulfane sulfur and releases a thiolate in  $\text{Cys}_{379}$ , while the CT complex at  $\text{Cys}_{201}$  is presumed to evolve to a C4a covalent adduct (Fig. 1A, reaction b). The thiolate in  $\text{Cys}_{379}$  attacks the adduct, regenerating the trisulfide and producing  $\text{FADH}_2$

(Fig. 1A, reaction c). Then,  $\text{CoQ}_{10}$  is reduced by  $\text{FADH}_2$  to complete the catalytic cycle (Fig. 1A, reaction d). Regarding the thiophilic acceptor, GSH has been proposed to be the physiologically preferred substrate, which would lead to GSSH formation (23–25). However, human SQOR exhibits remarkable substrate promiscuity (20). Additional *in vitro* sulfur acceptors include sulfite ( $\text{SO}_3^{2-}$ ), cyanide ( $\text{CN}^-$ ), a second  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$ , methanethiol, and coenzyme A, which produce thiosulfate, thiocyanate ( $\text{SCN}^-$ ),  $\text{H}_2\text{S}_2$ , methanethiol persulfide, and coenzyme A persulfide, respectively (20, 21, 23–27). GSSH can be further converted to sulfite by persulfide dioxygenase at the expense of  $\text{O}_2$  (23, 28) or to thiosulfate by TST at the expense of sulfite (24).

TST catalyzes the transfer of a sulfane sulfur from a donor to an acceptor using a ping-pong mechanism. The minimal reaction mechanism comprises a first step of nucleophilic attack by an active site cysteine ( $\text{Cys}_{248}$ ) on a sulfane sulfur donor, resulting in the release of the first product and formation of a cysteine persulfide on the enzyme (Fig. 1B, reaction e). In the second step, the persulfide is attacked by a thiophilic acceptor, releasing the second product and restoring the enzyme to its resting state (Fig. 1B, reaction f). Possible sulfur donors include thiosulfate and GSSH (producing sulfite and GSH, respectively), while possible sulfur acceptors include cyanide, sulfite, and GSH (generating thiocyanate, thiosulfate, and GSSH, respectively) (23, 24, 29). The best characterized reaction is with thiosulfate and cyanide as substrates. The enzyme mechanism can include additional steps, such as the formation of a noncovalent Michaelis complex with thiosulfate before sulfur transfer (30, 31).

In a previous work, we studied the reactions of GSSH with different electrophiles, leading to the determination of the  $\text{pK}_\text{a}$  of GSSH and to quantitative evidence for the enhanced nucleophilicity of GSSH compared to that of a putative thiol with the same  $\text{pK}_\text{a}$ , that is, the alpha effect (13). In this work,



**Figure 1. Proposed catalytic mechanisms for human SQOR and TST.** A, the active site cysteine trisulfide in SQOR is attacked by  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$  to form two persulfides, at  $\text{Cys}_{379}$  and at  $\text{Cys}_{201}$ ; the latter engages in a transient charge transfer (CT) complex with the FAD cofactor (reaction a). Then, a thiophilic acceptor ( $\text{Acc}^-$ ) attacks  $\text{Cys}_{379}\text{SSH}$  and the sulfane sulfur is transferred, generating  $\text{AccSH}$  and  $\text{Cys}_{379}\text{SH}$  while the CT complex is proposed to evolve to a transient C4a adduct (reaction b). Then,  $\text{Cys}_{379}\text{SH}$  attacks the adduct, regenerating the trisulfide and producing  $\text{FADH}_2$  (reaction c). Finally,  $\text{FADH}_2$  reduces  $\text{CoQ}$  to  $\text{CoQH}_2$ , restoring SQOR to its resting state (reaction d) (21). B, the active site  $\text{Cys}_{248}\text{SH}$  in TST attacks a sulfane sulfur donor (DS), resulting in the formation of  $\text{Cys}_{248}\text{SSH}$  and release of the first product ( $\text{D}^-$ ) (reaction e). Next, a thiophilic acceptor ( $\text{Acc}^-$ ) attacks  $\text{Cys}_{248}\text{SSH}$ , producing  $\text{AccSH}$  and restoring the resting enzyme (reaction f). SQOR, sulfide quinone oxidoreductase; TST, thiosulfate sulfurtransferase.

we extended the investigation to determine the  $pK_a$  values of several LMW persulfides, including the cysteine derivative, CysSSH. Considering that the  $pK_a$  of cysteine as a free amino acid in aqueous solution is different from that of protein cysteine residues, differences are also expected in the  $pK_a$  of free CysSSH compared to persulfides formed in proteins. Thus, we aimed to determine the  $pK_a$  of the persulfides formed at Cys<sub>379</sub> on human SQOR and at Cys<sub>248</sub> on human TST. The activities of both enzymes were measured at varying pH using sulfite or cyanide as sulfur acceptors. In addition, the half-reactions between TST persulfide and both sulfur acceptors were studied, representing the first pre-steady state kinetic characterization of TST to our knowledge. Our study elucidates the acidity of various LMW persulfides and reaffirms the alpha effect. It also provides a comparison between free and protein-bound persulfides, revealing that the protein environment can modulate persulfide properties.


## Results

### LMW persulfides

The  $pK_a$  values of several LMW persulfides were studied through the pH-dependency of the reaction rates with the alkylating agent monobromobimane (mBrB), as described previously for GSSH (13). We took advantage that mBrB

produces fluorescent products, is uncharged, and does not accept or release protons within the pH range studied. Additionally, mBrB can be used in pseudo-first order excess, abrogating the need to know the exact persulfide concentration.

Mixtures containing CysSSH, homocysteine persulfide (HcySSH), cysteamine persulfide (CystSSH),  $\beta$ -mercaptoethanol persulfide ( $\beta$ -MESSH), or cysteine methyl ester persulfide (CysOMeSSH), produced from H<sub>2</sub>S and the corresponding symmetrical LMW disulfide (13), were exposed to excess mBrB at different pH values at 25 °C. The fluorescent time courses were biphasic; the rapid exponential phases were attributed to the reactions with the persulfides, while the linear phases were attributed to those with the corresponding thiols present in the mixtures and, secondarily, with H<sub>2</sub>S (13). Exponential plus straight line functions were fitted, and observed rate constants ( $k_{obs}$ ) were obtained for each pH and mBrB concentration. The  $k_{obs}$  increased linearly with the concentration of mBrB, indicating that the reactions were first-order in mBrB, yielding apparent second-order rate constants at each pH ( $k_{pH}$ ). All persulfides exhibited the same behavior. Representative plots of the reaction with CysSSH are shown (Fig. 2, A and B). For each persulfide, the  $k_{pH}$  increased sigmoidally with pH, confirming that the anionic forms react with mBrB (Fig. 2, C–G). The  $pK_a$  values of the persulfides and the pH-independent rate constants ( $k_{ind}$ ) for the reactions with



**Figure 2.  $pK_a$  of LMW persulfides and their reactivity with mBrB.** A, representative stopped-flow fluorescence kinetic traces ( $\lambda_{ex}$  = 396 nm, emission cut-off 435 nm) of the reaction of CysSSH-containing mixtures (0.5–3  $\mu$ M) with mBrB (57.5  $\mu$ M) in acetic/MES/Tris buffer (pH 3.65–8.15, 25 °C). Exponential plus straight line functions were fitted to the time courses over 10 half-lives. In some cases, where double exponential plus straight line functions were fitted, the exponential phase with the lower observed rate constant ( $k_{obs}$ ) and larger amplitude was attributed to the reaction of the persulfide with mBrB. B, linear dependence of  $k_{obs}$  of CysSSH with mBrB concentration. Circles are quintuplates of  $k_{obs}$  obtained for every pH and mBrB concentration. The slope at each pH represents the apparent second-order rate constants,  $k_{pH}$ . At the more alkaline pH values, a small negative y-intercept was observed, as seen previously with GSSH (13). C, for CysSSH, a single- $pK_a$  function was fitted to the plot of  $k_{pH}$  versus pH with data obtained in three independent experiments (black circles, blue squares, and green triangles). A  $pK_a$  of  $5.2 \pm 0.1$  and a pH-independent second-order rate constant,  $k_{ind}$ , of  $(3.2 \pm 0.1) \times 10^3 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$  (parameters  $\pm$  standard errors of the fit) were determined. As seen previously with GSSH (13), a small decrease of unknown origin in the  $k_{pH}$  at the more alkaline pH values was observed. D–G, the  $pK_a$  and  $k_{ind}$  of the reactions with mBrB for other LMW persulfides were determined analogously. Plots of  $k_{pH}$  versus pH for HcySSH (D), CystSSH (E),  $\beta$ -MESSH (F), and CysOMeSSH (G). In the case of CysOMeSSH, a two- $pK_a$  function was fitted resulting in two sets of  $pK_a$  and  $k_{ind}$  values. The obtained values are summarized in Table 1. CysOMeSSH, cysteine methyl ester persulfide anion; CysSSH, cysteine persulfide; CystSSH, cysteamine persulfide anion; HcySSH, homocysteine persulfide anion; LMW, low molecular weight; mBrB, monobromobimane;  $\beta$ -MESSH,  $\beta$ -mercaptoethanol persulfide anion.

mBrB were determined from these graphs; the  $k_{ind}$  value corresponds to the rate constant with completely ionized persulfide. Single- $pK_a$  functions were fitted to the sigmoidal plots, with the exception of the CysOMeSSH data, where a two- $pK_a$  function was fitted, obtaining two values of  $pK_a$  and  $k_{ind}$  (Fig. 2G).

Our data are summarized in Table 1, together with values reported for other persulfides and for the analogous thiols. The different persulfides had similar  $pK_a$  values, 4.6 to 6.3, and similar  $k_{ind}$  for the reaction with mBrB,  $3.2$  to  $9.0 \times 10^3 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ .

Sulfide quinone oxidoreductase

The acidity of the persulfidated SQOR was evaluated by the pH-dependence of the steady-state rates. For enzymes that catalyze reactions with one substrate, the kinetic parameter  $k_{cat}/K_m$  (specificity constant) is an apparent second-order rate constant that reports on the properties of the free enzyme and the free substrate (32).  $k_{cat}/K_m$  can be calculated from the kinetic parameters  $k_{cat}$  and  $K_m$  obtained from typical Michaelis–Menten analysis (Equation 1). Alternatively,  $k_{cat}/K_m$  can be

determined by measuring the steady-state reaction rate at low substrate concentrations and dividing the rate by the enzyme and the initial substrate concentration,  $[E]_T$  and  $[S]_T$ , respectively (Equation 2) (33).

$$V_0 = \frac{V_{max} [S]_T}{K_m + [S]_T} = \frac{k_{cat} [S]_T [E]_T}{K_m + [S]_T}$$
 (1)

$$\text{When } [S]_T \ll K_m, V_0 = \frac{k_{cat}}{K_m} [S]_T [E]_T$$
 (2)

SQOR uses three substrates:  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$ , a sulfur acceptor ( $\text{Acc}^-$ ), and CoQ (Fig. 1A). At saturating concentrations of  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$  and CoQ, the  $k_{cat}/K_m^{\text{Acc}^-}$  represents the apparent rate constant for the reaction between the sulfur acceptor and the Cys<sub>379</sub>SSH of the bis-persulfidated SQOR, and its pH-dependency reports on the  $pK_a$  of both free species. Although the pH dependence of human SQOR activity has been reported (25), the pH dependence of  $k_{cat}/K_m$  is unavailable. The steady-state rate of SQOR was determined by monitoring the reduction of coenzyme Q<sub>1</sub> (CoQ<sub>1</sub>) at 278 nm at varying pH at 25 °C. Sulfite or cyanide

Table 1  
 $pK_a$  values of LMW persulfides and the corresponding thiols and  $k_{ind}$  of the reactions with mBrB, at  $I = 0.15$  and 25 °C

| Persulfide                                     | Structure | $pK_a$            |              |               | $k_{ind}$ with mBrB                                        |                                                 |
|------------------------------------------------|-----------|-------------------|--------------|---------------|------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|
|                                                |           | Persulfide        | Analog thiol | $\Delta pK_a$ | Persulfide ( $\times 10^3 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ) | Analog thiol ( $\text{M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ) |
| Cysteine methyl ester (CysOMeSS <sup>-</sup> ) |           | $4.6 \pm 0.1^a$   | $7.44^b$     | 2.8           | $3.8 \pm 0.4^a$                                            | N.D.                                            |
|                                                |           | $6.3 \pm 0.6^a$   | $8.88^b$     | 2.6           | $5.4 \pm 0.8^a$                                            | N.D.                                            |
| Cysteamine (CystSS <sup>-</sup> )              |           | $4.87 \pm 0.09^a$ | $8.21^c$     | 3.34          | $3.9 \pm 0.2^a$                                            | N.D.                                            |
| Cysteine (CysSS <sup>-</sup> )                 |           | $5.2 \pm 0.1^a$   | $8.29^d$     | 3.1           | $3.2 \pm 0.1^a$                                            | $105^d$                                         |
| Glutathione (GSS <sup>-</sup> )                |           | $5.45 \pm 0.03^e$ | $8.94^d$     | 3.49          | $9.0 \pm 0.2^e$                                            | $208^d$                                         |
| Homocysteine (HcySS <sup>-</sup> )             |           | $5.63 \pm 0.06^a$ | $9.1^d$      | 3.5           | $5.4 \pm 0.1^a$                                            | N.D.                                            |
| β-Mercaptoethanol (β-MESS <sup>-</sup> )       |           | $5.8 \pm 0.1^a$   | $9.6^d$      | 3.8           | $7.5 \pm 0.4^a$                                            | $519^d$                                         |
| 2-(3-Aminopropyl-amino)ethane                  |           | $6.2 \pm 0.1^f$   | $7.6^f$      | 1.4           | N.D.                                                       | N.D.                                            |
| Cumene                                         |           | $7.0^g$           | $>10^h$      | $>3$          | N.D.                                                       | N.D.                                            |


Reported data from <sup>a</sup> This work, <sup>b</sup> (43), <sup>c</sup> (63), <sup>d</sup> (16), <sup>e</sup> (13), <sup>f</sup> (15), <sup>g</sup> (14), <sup>h</sup> predicted value based on similar thiols (64).  
Abbreviation: N.D., not determined.



was used as the sulfur acceptor, and saturating concentrations of  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$  (150  $\mu\text{M}$ ) and  $\text{CoQ}_1$  (69  $\mu\text{M}$ ,  $K_m^{\text{CoQ}_1} = 19 \mu\text{M}$ , pH 7.5 (25)) were used. Note that this concentration of  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$  is saturating for the first step of the catalysis (Fig. 1A, reaction a),  $K_m^{\text{H}_2\text{S (Donor)}} = 13 \mu\text{M}$  at pH 7.5 (25), but not for the second step with  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$  acting as the sulfur acceptor (Fig. 1A, reaction b),  $K_m^{\text{H}_2\text{S (Acceptor)}} = 350 \mu\text{M}$  at pH 7.4 (27). The reaction between the persulfidated enzyme and  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$  ( $k_{\text{cat}}/K_m^{\text{H}_2\text{S (Acceptor)}} = 1.8 \times 10^5 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ , pH 7.4) is negligible in the presence of sulfite ( $k_{\text{cat}}/K_m^{\text{sulfite}} = 2.0 \times 10^6 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ , pH 7.4) but comparable to the reaction with cyanide ( $k_{\text{cat}}/K_m^{\text{cyanide}} = 5.1 \times 10^5 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ , pH 8.5) (25, 27). Therefore, in the experiments with cyanide, the reactions were started with cyanide instead of SQOR so that the contribution of SQOR with  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$  alone could be subtracted.

In experiments with sulfite, steady-state time courses with varying concentrations of sulfite (0.01–8 mM) were recorded, and linear functions were fitted to the first 15 to 40 s following SQOR addition (Fig. 3A). The slope before SQOR addition was subtracted to correct for the background nonenzymatic reduction of  $\text{CoQ}_1$ , which was minimal. From the hyperbolic fit of the activity *versus* sulfite concentration plot (Fig. 3B), the

kinetic parameters  $k_{\text{cat}}^{\text{sulfite}}$  and  $K_m^{\text{sulfite}}$  were obtained, and the  $k_{\text{cat}}/K_m^{\text{sulfite}}$  for each pH were calculated. As expected, when the  $k_{\text{cat}}/K_m^{\text{sulfite}}$  were plotted against pH, a bell-shaped profile was obtained (Fig. 3C), consistent with a reaction between a deprotonated species and a protonated one. An equation with two  $\text{pK}_a$  was fitted to the data (Equation 3), assuming that deprotonated sulfite and protonated SQOR persulfide were the reacting species. From this analysis, a  $\text{pK}_a$  value of  $6.8 \pm 0.5$  was obtained for sulfite, which is remarkably consistent with the reported value of 6.91 (34). The  $\text{pK}_a$  attributed to the protonated persulfide on SQOR was  $7.7 \pm 0.4$ . Since sulfite reacts with the persulfide on  $\text{Cys}_{379}$ , it can be inferred that this  $\text{pK}_a$  corresponds to  $\text{Cys}_{379}\text{SSH}$ . Although the assignment to another catalytic residue present in the bis-persulfidated SQOR cannot be excluded, it is unlikely to correspond to the persulfide formed in  $\text{Cys}_{201}$ , since this persulfide is engaged with the FAD cofactor in the formation of the CT complex. The bell-shaped fit also revealed a pH-independent  $k_{\text{cat}}/K_m^{\text{sulfite}}$  of  $(2.9 \pm 0.2) \times 10^6 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ . The maximum  $k_{\text{cat}}/K_m^{\text{sulfite}}$  was observed at pH 7.25. Both  $k_{\text{cat}}^{\text{sulfite}}$  and  $K_m^{\text{sulfite}}$  varied with pH (Fig. S1, A and B). Of note, the results obtained in these experiments are consistent with previous



**Figure 3. pH-dependence of SQOR activity.** The steady-state rate of reduction of  $\text{CoQ}_1$  was followed by the decrease in absorbance at 278 nm. The assays included 69  $\mu\text{M}$   $\text{CoQ}_1$ , 0.03% DHPC, 0.06 mg/ml BSA, 150  $\mu\text{M}$   $\text{H}_2\text{S}$ , and variable concentrations of sulfite or cyanide in MES/Tris/ethanolamine buffer (pH 5.65–9.93, 25 °C). A, the reactions with sulfite (0.01–8 mM) were started by the addition of 1 nM SQOR. Representative absorbance kinetic traces of  $\text{CoQ}_1$  reduction at pH 7.57. The steady-state rates were calculated from the linear fits to the data obtained 15 to 30 s after SQOR was added (subtracting the slopes before addition of SQOR). B, SQOR activity *versus* sulfite concentration at different pH values. Representative experiments at pH 8.68 (black circles), 7.57 (blue squares), 6.65 (green triangles), and 5.65 (red diamonds). Michaelis-Menten hyperbolas were fitted and yielded the kinetic parameters  $K_m^{\text{sulfite}}$  (Fig. S1A),  $k_{\text{cat}}^{\text{sulfite}}$  (Fig. S1B), and  $k_{\text{cat}}/K_m^{\text{sulfite}}$  for each pH. C, pH-dependence of  $k_{\text{cat}}/K_m^{\text{sulfite}}$ . Equation 3 was fitted to the data obtaining two  $\text{pK}_a$ s,  $6.8 \pm 0.5$  for the deprotonated species and  $7.7 \pm 0.4$  for the protonated one. A pH-independent  $k_{\text{cat}}/K_m^{\text{sulfite}}$  of  $2.9 \pm 0.2 \times 10^6 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$  was obtained for the reaction of sulfite with the persulfidated SQOR. D, the reactions with cyanide (90  $\mu\text{M}$ ) contained 50 nM SQOR and were initiated by the addition of cyanide. Representative time courses at different pHs. To calculate the steady-state rates, the slopes in the absence of cyanide were subtracted from those obtained 4 to 20 s after the addition of cyanide. The  $k_{\text{cat}}/K_m^{\text{cyanide}}$  at each pH was calculated using Equation 2. E, pH-dependence of  $k_{\text{cat}}/K_m^{\text{cyanide}}$ . Equation 3 plus an offset was fitted to the data, yielding a  $\text{pK}_a$  of  $8.9 \pm 0.2$  for the deprotonated species, a  $\text{pK}_a$  of  $7.9 \pm 0.1$  for the protonated species, an offset of  $(-10 \pm 5) \times 10^3 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ , and a pH-independent  $k_{\text{cat}}/K_m^{\text{cyanide}}$  of  $1.5 \pm 0.8 \times 10^6 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$  for the reaction of cyanide with the persulfidated SQOR. Values are parameters  $\pm$  standard errors of the fits. DHPC, 1,2-diheptanoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine; SQOR, sulfide quinone oxidoreductase.

## Acidity of persulfides and its modulation in SQOR and TST

measurements in potassium phosphate at pH 7.4, which showed a  $k_{\text{cat}}/K_{\text{m}}^{\text{sulfite}}$  of  $2.1 \times 10^6 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$  (25 °C) and a second-order rate constant between the enzyme CT complex and sulfite of  $3.9 \times 10^5 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$  (4 °C) (25, 27).

$$k_{\text{pH}} = k_{\text{ind}} \left( \frac{K_{\text{a}}^{\text{Acc}^-}}{K_{\text{a}}^{\text{Acc}^-} + [\text{H}^+]} \right) \left( \frac{[\text{H}^+]}{K_{\text{a}}^{\text{RSSH}} + [\text{H}^+]} \right) \quad (\text{Equation 3})$$

Kinetic traces with cyanide (Fig. 3D) were recorded at varying pH using 90  $\mu\text{M}$  cyanide, which is lower than the  $K_{\text{m}}^{\text{cyanide}}$  (650  $\mu\text{M}$  at pH 8.5 (25)). The steady-state rates were obtained from linear fits to the data during the first 4 to 20 s following cyanide addition, and the slopes before cyanide addition were subtracted. The  $k_{\text{cat}}/K_{\text{m}}^{\text{cyanide}}$  at each pH was calculated according to Equation 2 using the enzyme (50 nM) and cyanide (90  $\mu\text{M}$ ) initial concentrations. The pH-dependence of  $k_{\text{cat}}/K_{\text{m}}^{\text{cyanide}}$  exhibited bell-shaped behavior and Equation 3 was fitted to the data (Fig. 3E). A  $\text{p}K_{\text{a}}$  of  $8.9 \pm 0.2$  was obtained for the deprotonated species, consistent with the  $\text{p}K_{\text{a}}$  of 8.97 expected for HCN under these conditions ( $I = 0.15 \text{ M}$ , 25 °C) (35). It should be noted that the  $\text{p}K_{\text{a}}$  of HCN changes considerably with temperature and ionic strength ( $I$ ); the values often cited, 9.21 and 9.36, correspond to  $I = 0$  at 25 and 20 °C, respectively (35). For the persulfidated enzyme, a  $\text{p}K_{\text{a}}$  of  $7.9 \pm 0.1$  was obtained, in excellent agreement with the results with sulfite ( $7.7 \pm 0.4$ ). Furthermore, the pH-independent  $k_{\text{cat}}/K_{\text{m}}^{\text{cyanide}}$  was  $(1.5 \pm 0.8) \times 10^6 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ . Note that only a small fraction of the pH-independent constant was observed ( $\sim 7\%$  at pH 8.4) (Fig. 3E), since cyanide, which needs to be deprotonated, has a higher  $\text{p}K_{\text{a}}$  than the persulfidated enzyme that appears to be protonated for catalysis.

Controls confirmed that the low activities seen at the extreme pH values with sulfite and cyanide were due to reversible changes in protonation states instead of irreversible denaturation of SQOR (Fig. S1B). Additionally, the concentrations of  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$  and  $\text{CoQ}_1$  used were observed to be saturating at all pHs (Fig. S1C).

Attempts to use GSH as sulfur acceptor to assess  $k_{\text{cat}}/K_{\text{m}}^{\text{GSH}}$  at different pHs were unsuccessful. When relatively low concentrations of GSH were used, SQOR reacted with  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$  as acceptor ( $k_{\text{cat}}/K_{\text{m}}^{\text{H}_2\text{S (Acceptor)}} = 1.8 \times 10^5 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ , pH 7.4) rather than with GSH ( $k_{\text{cat}}/K_{\text{m}}^{\text{GSH}} = 1.1 \times 10^4 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ , pH 7.4) (27). On the other hand, construction of Michaelis–Menten hyperbolas required high concentrations of GSH ( $K_{\text{m}}^{\text{GSH}} = 8 \text{ mM}$ , pH 7.4 (27)), which altered the pH of the reaction mixtures, and could not be compensated without changing the ionic strength.

Note that in the  $\text{p}K_{\text{a}}$  determination experiments, chloride ( $\text{Cl}^-$ ) was avoided since it was found to be a reversible

competitive inhibitor of SQOR. At neutral pH with sulfite as sulfur acceptor, relatively similar apparent  $k_{\text{cat}}^{\text{sulfite}}$  were achieved in the presence and absence of 120 mM chloride, but the apparent  $K_{\text{m}}^{\text{sulfite}}$  increased  $\sim 20$ -fold (estimated  $K_{\text{i}}$  for chloride was  $\sim 7.4 \text{ mM}$ ) (Fig. S1D).

The pH experiments with SQOR, summarized in Table 2, suggest that the  $\text{Cys}_{379}$  persulfide reacts in the protonated state with the nucleophilic sulfur acceptor and has a  $\text{p}K_{\text{a}}$  of  $7.8 \pm 0.2$ .

### Thiosulfate sulfurtransferase

We first measured TST activity by monitoring the formation of thiocyanate at varying pH at 25 °C. Saturating concentrations of thiosulfate (300 mM,  $K_{\text{m}}^{\text{thiosulfate}} = 18\text{--}45 \text{ mM}$  (30, 31)) and cyanide concentrations lower than the  $K_{\text{m}}^{\text{cyanide}}$  (300  $\mu\text{M}$ ,  $K_{\text{m}}^{\text{cyanide}} = 1.8\text{--}2.8 \text{ mM}$  (31), and this work) were used so that the global rates would be limited by the last step of the catalytic mechanism (Fig. 1B, reaction f), which involves the rate constant of the reaction between the persulfidated enzyme and cyanide,  $k_{\text{cat}}/K_{\text{m}}^{\text{cyanide}}$ . The spontaneous reaction between the substrates at different pH was found to be negligible under our conditions, in accordance with reported data (36). A sigmoidal increase in activity with pH was observed, with an apparent  $\text{p}K_{\text{a}}$  of  $8.47 \pm 0.06$  and a maximum apparent rate constant of  $(4.0 \pm 0.1) \times 10^5 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$  at alkaline pH (Fig. 4). Control experiments excluded irreversible enzyme inactivation (Fig. S2A). Additionally, controls performed at the most acidic and alkaline pH confirmed that the concentration of thiosulfate was saturating and that the concentration of cyanide was below  $K_{\text{m}}^{\text{cyanide}}$  (Fig. S2B). Of note, the buffer system affected the enzyme activity; using a 300 mM Tris buffer with 120 mM NaCl, the activity was  $<40\%$  of that obtained with the ACES/Tris/ethanolamine buffer at the same pH, which contained 15.6 mM Tris and 120 mM NaCl.

Stopped-flow kinetic studies were performed on the isolated half-reactions between TST persulfide and sulfur acceptors. Preformed stocks of TST persulfide were used to monitor its reaction with sulfite or cyanide at varying pH at 25 °C. The reactions were followed by the changes in the intrinsic fluorescence of TST, taking advantage of the higher fluorescence in the thiol *versus* the persulfidated state, as reported for the bovine enzyme (37). The decrease in fluorescence appears to be due to energy transfer involving tryptophans and the persulfide, without major folding rearrangements (38), hence it likely reports on persulfide formation.


TST persulfide was exposed to a pseudo-first order excess of sulfite (15 and 75  $\mu\text{M}$ ) or cyanide (25 and 100  $\mu\text{M}$ ), and the increases in the intrinsic fluorescence were recorded (Fig. 5, A

**Table 2**  
 **$\text{p}K_{\text{a}}$  and rate constants for SQOR and TST, at  $I = 0.15$  and 25 °C**

| Enzyme form     | $\text{p}K_{\text{a}}$ | pH-independent rate constant ( $\text{M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ) |                             |                          |
|-----------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|
|                 |                        | Sulfite                                                         | Cyanide                     | Thiosulfate              |
| SQOR persulfide | $7.8 \pm 0.2^a$        | $(2.9 \pm 0.2) \times 10^6$                                     | $(1.5 \pm 0.8) \times 10^6$ | No reaction              |
| TST persulfide  | $9.38 \pm 0.04^a$      | $(2.5 \pm 0.1) \times 10^5$                                     | $(1.0 \pm 0.1) \times 10^7$ | No reaction <sup>b</sup> |
| TST thiol       | $6.5 \pm 0.1$          | No reaction                                                     | No reaction                 | $\sim 6 \times 10^4$     |

<sup>a</sup> Values represent mean  $\pm$  propagated error of the results obtained with sulfite and cyanide.

<sup>b</sup> Thiosulfate is not a substrate for TST persulfide, it acts as an inhibitor.




**Figure 4. pH-dependence of TST activity.** The steady-state activity of TST (5–100 nM) was measured by the formation of thiocyanate in the presence of thiosulfate (300 mM) and cyanide (300  $\mu$ M, lower than  $K_m^{\text{cyanide}}$ ) in ACES/Tris/ethanolamine buffer in the pH range of 7.03 to 10.12 and 25 °C. A sigmoidal function was fitted to the data of two independent experiments (black circles and blue squares) and yielded an apparent  $pK_a$  of  $8.47 \pm 0.06$  and a maximum apparent rate constant of  $(4.0 \pm 0.1) \times 10^5 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$  (parameters  $\pm$  standard errors of the fit). TST, thiosulfate sulfurtransferase.

and B). Single exponential functions were fitted to the time courses. The  $k_{\text{obs}}$  values were divided by the sulfite or cyanide concentration and the second order rate constants,  $k_{\text{pH}}$ , were determined at each pH. The  $k_{\text{pH}}$  for both sulfur acceptors showed bell-shape profiles, and the Equation 3 was fitted (Fig. 5, C and D). In the case of sulfite, the fit yielded a  $pK_a$  of


$6.89 \pm 0.09$  for the deprotonated species, consistent with sulfite ( $pK_a = 6.91$  (34)), and a  $pK_a$  of  $9.38 \pm 0.07$  for the protonated species. The pH-independent rate constant,  $k_{\text{ind}}$ , was  $(2.5 \pm 0.1) \times 10^5 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$  (Fig. 5C). With cyanide on the other hand, the fit gave a  $pK_a$  of  $8.87 \pm 0.06$  for the deprotonated species, in agreement with cyanide ( $pK_a = 8.97$  (35)), and a  $pK_a$  of  $9.37 \pm 0.05$  for the protonated species. The  $k_{\text{ind}}$  was  $(1.0 \pm 0.1) \times 10^7 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$  (Fig. 5D). Notably, the  $pK_a$  value for the protonated species was the same with both sulfur acceptors (9.37 and 9.38) and thus, it can be attributed to persulfidated TST. In the case of the bovine enzyme, a previous report suggested  $pK_a$ s of 5.9 and 9.4 for the persulfide derivative (30); our results are in good agreement with the alkaline value.

The  $k_{\text{ind}}$  for the reaction of TST persulfide with cyanide,  $(1.0 \pm 0.1) \times 10^7 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ , is 25-fold higher than the maximum rate constant obtained from the activity measurements with 300 mM thiosulfate and 300  $\mu$ M cyanide,  $4.0 \times 10^5 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$  (Fig. 4). The lower value obtained in the activity measurements can be explained by inhibition at high concentrations of thiosulfate which leads to the formation of a dead-end complex between the persulfidated enzyme and thiosulfate (30, 31) (Fig. 6A). In fact, with 312  $\mu$ M cyanide, 30 mM thiosulfate can already cause inhibition (31). Therefore, the steady-state rates measured herein were strongly affected by thiosulfate inhibition (Fig. 6B). The extent to which  $k_{\text{cat}}/K_m^{\text{cyanide}}$  ( $k_3$  in Fig. 6A) was affected by thiosulfate inhibition depends on the thiosulfate concentration as well as on the dissociation constant of the dead-end complex  $K_4$ , defined as  $k_{-4}/k_4$  (Fig. 6A); the observed  $k_{\text{cat}}/K_m^{\text{cyanide}}$  is given by  $k_3/(1 + [\text{thiosulfate}]/K_4)$  (Fig. 6B). Thus, the pH-dependency of  $K_4$  can influence the



**Figure 5. Stopped-flow kinetics of TST persulfide with sulfur acceptors.** A and B, representative fluorescence time courses ( $\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 295 \text{ nm}$ , US 360 nm bandpass filter) of TST persulfide (0.8–1.0  $\mu$ M) exposed to 75  $\mu$ M sulfite (A) or 25  $\mu$ M cyanide (B), in ACES/Tris/ethanolamine buffer (pH 5.60–10.38, 25 °C). Single exponential functions were fitted and the  $k_{\text{obs}}$  was divided by the concentration of sulfite or cyanide to give the corresponding  $k_{\text{pH}}$ . C and D, pH-dependence of  $k_{\text{pH}}$ . Bell-shaped functions (Equation 3 or Equation 3 plus an offset) were fitted to the data, yielding  $pK_a$  values of  $6.89 \pm 0.09$  (deprotonated species) and  $9.38 \pm 0.07$  (protonated species), an offset of  $(3.5 \pm 0.9) \times 10^4 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ , and a  $k_{\text{ind}}$  of  $(2.5 \pm 0.1) \times 10^5 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$  for the reaction with sulfite (C) and  $pK_a$  values of  $8.87 \pm 0.06$  (deprotonated species) and  $9.37 \pm 0.05$  (protonated species) and a  $k_{\text{ind}}$  of  $(1.0 \pm 0.1) \times 10^7 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$  for the reaction with cyanide (parameters  $\pm$  standard errors of the fits). TST, thiosulfate sulfurtransferase.






**Figure 6. TST mechanism and inhibition by thiosulfate.** A, TST catalytic mechanism using thiosulfate and cyanide as substrates and depicting inhibition by high concentrations of thiosulfate (30, 31). B, steady-state rate equation assuming fast equilibrium for thiosulfate binding and steady-state for the persulfidated enzyme. TST, thiosulfate sulfurtransferase.

experiment, complicating the interpretation. Human TST can be activated by cyanide at high millimolar concentrations (31), which is unlikely to affect our results. Of note, the values of  $k_{cat}/K_m^{cyanide}$  reported for the bovine and human enzymes are  $6.0 \times 10^5 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$  (pH 8.7, 40 °C) and  $9.5 \times 10^4 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$  (pH 8.5, 0 °C), respectively, under noninhibited conditions (30, 31). At pH 8.5, our stopped-flow estimation of the rate constant between the persulfidated enzyme and cyanide is higher, of  $2.7 \times 10^6 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$  (25 °C), and deserves further exploration. It is worth noting that a reaction between TST persulfide and mBrB was explored at varying pH to allow comparison with LMW persulfides. However, no such reaction was detected under our conditions.

The kinetics of the reaction of TST thiol with pseudo-first order concentrations of thiosulfate (200  $\mu\text{M}$ ) was investigated in a pH range of 3.68 to 8.75 and 25 °C. Since thiosulfate does not accept or release protons within the pH range studied, the pH-dependence of the reaction rate should reveal the  $pK_a$  of the TST thiol. The decrease in the intrinsic fluorescence of TST due to persulfide formation was recorded (Fig. 7A).

The kinetic traces followed single or double exponential functions, which is consistent with the formation of TST persulfide in two steps with a non-covalent intermediate (Fig. 6A). The smaller  $k_{obs}$ , which corresponded to the larger amplitude, was found to increase with pH (Fig. 7B), consistent with the thiolate enzyme, rather than the protonated thiol, being the species reacting with thiosulfate. The data followed a two- $pK_a$  sigmoidal function, indicating the presence of two reacting species with  $pK_a$  values of  $4.6 \pm 0.1$  and  $6.5 \pm 0.1$ , and second-order rate constants of  $\sim 3 \times 10^4$  and  $\sim 6 \times 10^4 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$  (estimated by dividing the maximal  $k_{obs}$  obtained from the fit, 5.9 and  $11.5 \text{ s}^{-1}$ , respectively, by thiosulfate concentration). This result suggests that the ionization state of a neighboring residue affects the  $pK_a$  of the thiol. Our estimated  $pK_a$  of  $6.5 \pm 0.1$  can be compared to previous reports; a  $pK_a$  of 7.8 was reported for the thiol alone in bovine TST (39), while  $pK_a$  values of 6.5 and 6.75 to 7.05 were reported for the thiol in complex with thiosulfate and with substrate analogs, respectively (30, 39). In human 3-mercaptopyruvate sulfurtransferase, the thiol was reported to have a  $pK_a$  of 5.2 (40). Regarding the rate constants for the reaction of TST thiol with thiosulfate, our value ( $\sim 6 \times 10^4 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ) can be compared to the value of  $k_{cat}/K_m^{thiosulfate}$  of  $1.7 \times 10^4 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$  (40 °C, pH 8.7) reported for the bovine enzyme (30, 31). The  $k_{cat}/K_m^{thiosulfate}$  parameter represents an algebraic combination of the kinetic constants corresponding to the first and second steps of the mechanism,  $k_2 k_1/k_{-1}$  (Fig. 6B). Last, the kinetic analysis was extended to higher pH values, and a decrease in  $k_{obs}$  with a  $pK_a$  of  $\sim 10$  was observed (Fig. 7C). This  $pK_a$  is comparable to the value of 9.9 reported for an increase in  $K_m^{thiosulfate}$  (i.e.,  $k_{-1}/k_1$ ) at alkaline pH in the bovine enzyme (30) and likely reflects the effect of deprotonation of one or more residues other than the thiol, decreasing the reaction between thiosulfate and the thiolate.

The results with TST are summarized in Table 2. Taken together, they reveal that the reaction of persulfidated TST with sulfur acceptors is dependent on a protonated residue with a  $pK_a$  of  $9.38 \pm 0.04$ . In contrast, the TST thiol reacts with thiosulfate in the anionic state, with a  $pK_a$  of  $6.5 \pm 0.1$ .



**Figure 7. Stopped-flow kinetics of TST thiol with thiosulfate.** A, representative fluorescence time courses ( $\lambda_{ex} = 295 \text{ nm}$ , US 360 nm bandpass filter) of TST thiol (0.9  $\mu\text{M}$ ) exposed to 200  $\mu\text{M}$  thiosulfate in acetic/MES/Tris buffer (pH 3.68–8.75, 25 °C). Single or double exponential functions were fitted to the data. B, pH-dependence of  $k_{obs}$  for a representative experiment. For the double exponential fits, the smaller  $k_{obs}$  values, which corresponded to the larger amplitude, were used. Using data from three independent experiments, a two- $pK_a$  sigmoidal function was fitted yielding  $pK_a$  values of  $4.6 \pm 0.1$  and  $6.5 \pm 0.1$  and maximal  $k_{obs}$  of  $5.9 \pm 0.6$  and  $11.5 \pm 0.3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ , respectively (parameters  $\pm$  standard errors of the fit). C, pH-dependence of the  $k_{obs}$  at alkaline pH values. The reactions were performed as described but in ACES/Tris/ethanolamine buffer (pH 6.68–10.34, 25 °C), and a single exponential plus straight line function was fitted to the data to obtain the  $k_{obs}$ . Representative of two independent experiments, five replicates each. TST, thiosulfate sulfurtransferase.




## Discussion

In this study, the acidity and rate constants of the reaction between mBrB and a series of LMW alkyl persulfides were measured (Table 1). When analyzing the  $pK_a$  and  $k_{ind}$  of the persulfides, it is important to consider the ionization state of other groups. In the case of  $\beta$ -MESSH, in which the persulfide is the only ionizable group in the working pH range, the assignment of the  $pK_a$  and  $k_{ind}$  is straightforward. In the case of CysSSH, the  $pK_a$  and  $k_{ind}$  can be assigned to the persulfide in the species containing ammonium (as drawn in Table 1), since the ammonium has a  $pK_a$  of 9.55 in the analogous thiol (41), favoring protonation of the amino group at the working pH. In the case of amino acid–derived persulfides, the  $pK_a$  and  $k_{ind}$  determined with mBrB likely correspond to the forms with ionized carboxylate and ammonium (as drawn in Table 1 for CysSSH and HcySSH), given that the analogous thiols have  $pK_a$  values of  $\sim 2$  for the carboxylic acid and  $>9$  for the ammonium group (16), distant from the observed  $pK_a$ s. In the case of GSSH, although the glycyl carboxylic acid has  $pK_a$  values of 3.12 and 3.36 for the positive and neutral variants of GSH, respectively (42), we observed only one  $pK_a$  of 5.45 for the persulfide (13), suggesting that it corresponds to the form with two anionic carboxylates and ammonium. Last, in the case of CysOMeSSH (Fig. 2G), the two sets of  $pK_a$  and  $k_{ind}$  obtained likely correspond to the microscopic constants of the ammonium- and the amino-persulfide forms. The observation of microscopic constants for CysOMeSSH is probably related to proximity between the  $pK_a$ s of the persulfide and the ammonium groups, since the latter have values of 6.88 and 8.32 in CysOMeSH and CysOMeS $^-$ , respectively (43). Microscopic constants have also been observed for reactions of mBrB with several thiols (16, 44).

Remarkably, the persulfides studied presented similar acidity, with  $pK_a$  values around 5.4. Despite the similarity, when sorted by  $pK_a$ , the resulting order was roughly the same as that of the analogous thiols (Table 1). These low  $pK_a$  values confirm that LMW persulfides exist predominately in the anionic state at physiological pH. Furthermore, the pH-independent rate constants ( $k_{ind}$ ) for the reaction between the different nucleophilic persulfides with mBrB were similar,  $\sim 10^3 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$  (Table 1). In contrast, for thiols, both the acidity and the  $k_{ind}$  values are spread over a larger range. These results can be visualized in the Brønsted plot shown in Figure 8, which depicts the  $k_{ind}$  in logarithmic scale as a function of  $pK_a$  for both persulfides and thiols. Clearly, our results demonstrate that substituents exert a limited effect on the  $pK_a$  of persulfides in comparison to thiols, likely because of the presence of an additional sulfur, which increases the distance between the substituents and the outer sulfur.

The LMW persulfides studied showed higher reactivity with mBrB than the analogous thiols (Table 1). Increased nucleophilicity has been observed for aromatic persulfides *versus* thiolates (45), and polysulfides ( $\text{HS}_n^-$ ) *versus*  $\text{HS}^-$  (46), although not for zinc polysulfide *versus* zinc thiolate compounds (47). In addition, higher reactivity of persulfides has been observed in proteins such as human serum albumin and



**Figure 8. Comparison of the reactivity of LMW persulfide anions and thiolates with mBrB.** Brønsted plot exhibiting pH-independent rate constants (in logarithmic scale) *versus*  $pK_a$  for the reactions of persulfide anions or thiolates with mBrB ( $I = 0.15$  and  $25^\circ \text{C}$ ). Red circles: CysOMeSS $^-(\text{NH}_3^+)$  (1), CystSS $^-$  (2), CysSS $^-$  (3), GSS $^-$  (4), HcySS $^-$  (5),  $\beta$ -MESS $^-$  (6), and CysOMeSS $^-(\text{NH}_2)$  (7);  $\beta_{\text{nuc}} = 0.2 \pm 0.1$  ( $R^2 = 0.31$ ). The values are depicted in Table 1. Black squares: reported data for LMW thiolates;  $\beta_{\text{nuc}} = 0.52 \pm 0.08$  ( $R^2 = 0.85$ ) (16). LMW, low molecular weight; mBrB, monobromobimane.

the peroxiredoxin AhpE when reacting with unspecific electrophiles (5, 48). Computational studies also support a higher reactivity for  $\text{HSS}^-$  *versus*  $\text{HS}^-$  or  $\text{RSS}^-$  *versus*  $\text{RS}^-$  (5, 13, 49), although the extent of acceleration has been questioned (50).

The nucleophilic reactivities of LMW persulfides with mBrB can also be compared with the reactivities of thiols with similar basicity. This comparison can be visualized by deviations in the  $y$ -axis of Brønsted plots. The positive deviation of persulfides with respect to the trend followed by the thiolates (Fig. 8) indicates that persulfides have higher rate constants than putative thiols with the same  $pK_a$ , constituting evidence for the  $\alpha$  effect in the reaction of alkyl persulfides with mBrB (*i.e.*, the increased reactivity of a nucleophile that has an adjacent atom with high electron density in comparison to a reference nucleophile with similar  $pK_a$  (17, 18)). The magnitude of the  $\alpha$  effect depends not only on the nucleophile but also on the electrophile. For example, GSS $^-$  reacts 1670-fold faster than a thiolate with similar basicity with mBrB but only 3.2-fold faster with hydrogen peroxide (13). The origin of the  $\alpha$  effect remains elusive; possible explanations include transition state stabilization, ground state destabilization, and solvation differences. With persulfides, an attractive hypothesis is the increased stabilization of the biradical character of the transition state (51). In this regard, the free radicals derived from the one-electron oxidation of persulfides are more stable than those derived from thiols (14, 15).

The slope of the Brønsted plot is called  $\beta_{\text{nuc}}$ . Although the estimation of the  $\beta_{\text{nuc}}$  for persulfides was subject to high uncertainty due to the clustering of the persulfide values in the Brønsted plot, its value was lower than the  $\beta_{\text{nuc}}$  for thiols ( $\beta_{\text{nuc}}^{\text{RSSH}} = 0.2 \pm 0.1$ ,  $\beta_{\text{nuc}}^{\text{RSH}} = 0.52 \pm 0.08$ ) (Fig. 8). This is reminiscent of the  $\beta_{\text{nuc}}$  difference between oximates ( $\alpha$  nucleophiles) and phenoxides (52). Additional studies are

## Acidity of persulfides and its modulation in SQOR and TST

needed to understand the basis of the differences in  $\beta_{\text{nuc}}$  between persulfides and thiols.

The data on persulfidated SQOR and TST underscore the scope for modulating reactivity by the protein scaffold. The pH-dependence of the SQOR steady-state rate under conditions that report on the persulfidated enzyme suggested that the persulfide on Cys<sub>379</sub> is in the protonated state for reaction with the sulfur acceptors and has a  $pK_a$  of  $7.8 \pm 0.2$ . This increase in SQOR  $pK_a$  in comparison to a LMW persulfide ( $pK_a \sim 5.4$ ) favors a larger fraction of protonated persulfide on Cys<sub>379</sub>, which would promote the electrophilic character of the outer sulfur and avoid repulsion with the negative charge of either sulfite or cyanide. The crystal structure of bis-persulfidated SQOR (PDB 6OIB) shows that the Cys<sub>379</sub>SSH is located in an electropositive cavity that is exposed to solvent (21). No clear hydrogen-bonding partners for the outer sulfur are seen, and the proximity to the anionic persulfide located in Cys<sub>201</sub> would promote an uncharged Cys<sub>379</sub>SSH (Fig. 9).

A serendipitous finding of our work was that SQOR is inhibited by chloride, which competes with sulfite ( $K_i \sim 7.4$  mM). Since the chloride concentration in the mitochondrial matrix is estimated to be  $\sim 4.2$  mM (53), SQOR might be partially inhibited by chloride *in vivo*.

Substrate inhibition of TST by thiosulfate is unlikely to have physiological relevance, since intracellular thiosulfate levels are

estimated to be 5 to 20  $\mu\text{M}$  (54, 55), while inhibition of TST is achieved at  $> 30$  mM thiosulfate (31). Nevertheless, this inhibition affected our steady-state kinetic results with TST, complicating their interpretation and highlighting the stopped-flow study of the half-reactions.

The pH-dependency of the TST half-reaction rates indicates that persulfidated TST must be protonated to react with the nucleophilic acceptor, with a  $pK_a$  of  $9.38 \pm 0.04$ . The thiol form of TST has a  $pK_a$  of  $6.5 \pm 0.1$  and reacts as an anionic thiolate with thiosulfate. The thiol  $pK_a$  in TST is lower than that of a thiol in a typical peptide ( $\sim 9.1$ ) (56). Although the assignment of the  $pK_a$  value of  $6.5 \pm 0.1$  to a catalytic residue other than the thiol cannot be excluded, the low value is consistent with modulation by the local environment to favor the thiolate form, promoting the nucleophilic attack on the sulfur donor in the first half-reaction. The low  $pK_a$  is also consistent with a role as leaving group in the second half-reaction, since leaving group potential correlates with acidity. From a structural point of view, the thiol acidity is likely sustained by hydrogen bonds formed between the thiolate and surrounding water, backbone and sidechain groups (57). Regarding persulfidated TST, the available structural information for the bovine enzyme (PDB 1RHD and 1BOH) (Fig. 9) suggests that the persulfide remains in the anionic state due to the establishment of hydrogen bonds (57, 58). The conformational differences between the thiolate and persulfide forms of TST appear to be minimal according to the crystal structures (57). Based on this analysis, it is likely that the  $pK_a$  of  $9.38 \pm 0.04$  corresponds to a different active site residue, which needs to be protonated for the reaction to occur. A potential candidate is Lys<sub>250</sub> that is located two residues apart from the critical Cys<sub>248</sub> and has been reported to be important for activity (59). Provision of a positive charge by Lys<sub>250</sub> would help counteract the negative charges on both the sulfur acceptor substrate and the anionic persulfide.


In summary, our results provide evidence for the existence of the alpha effect in nucleophilic reactions in a series of LMW persulfides and demonstrate that their  $pK_a$  values and rate constants lie within a narrow range, consistent with the substituents being farther away from the outer sulfur than in thiols. Our results also reveal that the low  $pK_a$  values obtained for the LMW persulfides cannot be extrapolated to protein persulfides where the active site environments modulate the acidity and tune the reactivity.

## Experimental procedures


### Reagents, solutions, and buffer systems

Stocks of cystine and homocystine (Sigma) were dissolved in 0.1 M NaOH and used immediately. Solutions of cystamine (Fluka), hydroxyethyl disulfide (Aldrich), and cystine dimethyl ester (Aldrich) were prepared in 0.1 M sodium phosphate with 0.1 mM diethylenetriamine pentaacetic acid (DTPA, Acros). Stocks of H<sub>2</sub>S were prepared from the crystals of Na<sub>2</sub>S·9H<sub>2</sub>O (Carlo Erba or Sigma) stored under argon in a desiccator; they were washed with distilled water and dissolved in ultrapure water the day of the experiment. Concentrated stocks of mBrB

### A SQOR



### B TST



**Figure 9. Persulfides in SQOR and TST.** A, close-up of the bis-persulfide in the SQOR structure (PDB 6OIB). Residues within 5 Å from Cys<sub>379</sub>SSH, the FAD cofactor, and the CoQ substrate are depicted in sticks. B, close-up of the persulfide in the bovine TST structure (PDB 1RHD). Residues within 5 Å from Cys<sub>248</sub> are depicted in sticks. Figures were constructed with Mol\* (65). SQOR, sulfide quinone oxidoreductase; TST, thiosulfate sulfurtransferase.

(Sigma) were prepared in acetonitrile (AppliChem); dilutions were freshly prepared in buffer and quantified by absorbance at 396 nm ( $\epsilon_{396} = 5300 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ) (60). Stocks of 10% 1,2-diheptanoyl-*sn*-glycero-3-phosphocholine (DHPC, Avanti Polar Lipids) were prepared in 10 mM potassium phosphate buffer, pH 6.8. Solutions of sodium sulfite (Sigma), sodium thiosulfate (Amresco), and potassium cyanide (Sigma or Bio-pack) were freshly prepared in ultrapure water. For TST activity assays, thiosulfate and cyanide were prepared in the assay buffer. Potassium thiocyanate (Fluka) standards were prepared in buffer.

Different three-component buffers with constant ionic strength ( $I = 0.15 \text{ M}$ ) and variable pH were used, depending on the experiment (61). The acetic/MES/Tris buffer 1× contained 15 mM acetic acid (Dorwil), 15 mM MES (AppliChem or Sigma), 30 mM Tris (AppliChem), 120 mM NaCl (Fluka or Sigma), 0.1 mM DTPA and varying amounts of HCl or NaOH to adjust the pH in the 3.65 to 8.75 range. The MES/Tris/ethanolamine buffer 1× consisted of 20 mM MES, 10.4 mM Tris, 10.4 mM ethanolamine (Sigma), 43 mM sodium sulfate (Sigma), 0.1 mM DTPA, and varying amounts of  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  or NaOH to adjust the pH in a range of 5.65 to 9.93. The ACES/Tris/ethanolamine buffer 1× contained 30 mM ACES (AppliChem), 15.6 mM Tris, 15.6 mM ethanolamine, 120 mM NaCl, 0.1 mM DTPA, and varying amounts of HCl or NaOH to adjust the pH in the 5.60 to 10.38 range.

#### ***pK<sub>a</sub> determination of LMW persulfides by the pH-dependence of the reactivities with mBrB***

The  $pK_a$  values of CysSSH, HcySSH, CystSSH,  $\beta$ -MESSH, and CysOMeSSH were determined by the pH-dependence of the reaction rates with mBrB, as previously described for GSSH (13).

Persulfide-containing mixtures were prepared by preincubation of the corresponding symmetrical LMW disulfides with substoichiometric amounts of  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$  for 30 to 60 min at room temperature in sodium phosphate buffer (0.1 M, pH 7.4, 0.1 mM DTPA) (13). Specifically, 3 mM cystine, 5 mM homocystine, 3 mM cystamine, 40 mM hydroxyethyl disulfide, or 2 mM cystine dimethyl ester were mixed with 0.6, 1, 0.6, 8, and 0.4 mM  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$ , to form mixtures containing CysSSH, HcySSH, CystSSH,  $\beta$ -MESSH, and CysOMeSSH, respectively. The disulfides were chosen based on their commercial availability and on the considerable variations in the  $pK_a$  of the corresponding thiols (16). The concentrations of the disulfides and  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$  in each case were chosen according to the rate constant of each reaction (5). The formation of persulfides in the mixtures of disulfides and  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$  was previously characterized by high performance LC-MS of the reaction products of glutathione disulfide and  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$  (13).

The kinetics of the reactions of LMW persulfides with mBrB were followed in a stopped-flow spectrofluorimeter (Applied Photophysics SX20) with symmetrical mixing under pseudo-first-order conditions with mBrB in excess. One of the stopped-flow syringes contained a 50-fold dilution of the persulfide-containing mixtures (2–6  $\mu\text{M}$ ) in ultrapure water,

while the other one contained mBrB (100–340  $\mu\text{M}$ ) prepared in acetic/MES/Tris buffer 2× with varying pH. The final concentrations were halved by the stopped-flow mixing. The fluorescence ( $\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 396 \text{ nm}$ , emission cut-off 435 nm) of the products was recorded at 25 °C. The final pH values of the reaction mixtures were measured. The data were analyzed with OriginPro 2021.

#### ***SQOR activity assays***

Human SQOR was expressed and purified as reported previously (24, 25). SQOR concentration was determined from the FAD absorbance at 450 nm, using  $\epsilon = 11,500 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$  (25). Daily stocks of 0.4  $\mu\text{M}$  SQOR were prepared in 50 mM Tris buffer with 100 mM sulfate and 0.03% DHPC, pH 8.0.

The activity of SQOR was measured at different pHs in a temperature-controlled spectrophotometer (Shimadzu UV-2600 or UV-1900i). The steady-state rate of reduction of CoQ<sub>1</sub> (Sigma-Aldrich or Cayman Chemical) was followed at 278 nm ( $\epsilon = 12,000 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$  (25)) in MES/Tris/ethanolamine buffer with pH in the 5.65 to 9.93 range at 25 °C.

In experiments with sulfite as the sulfur acceptor, the reactions contained the corresponding buffer with 69  $\mu\text{M}$  CoQ<sub>1</sub>, 0.03% DHPC, 0.06 mg/ml BSA, 150  $\mu\text{M}$   $\text{H}_2\text{S}$ , 1 nM SQOR, and varying concentrations of sulfite (0.01–8 mM), in a total volume of 1.2 ml with minimum headspace. The reactions were initiated by the addition of SQOR. The cuvette was capped during the experiment to avoid  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$  leakage. At the end of each experiment, the final pH values of the reaction mixtures were measured. The steady-state rates were calculated from the linear fits after SQOR was added; the small slopes before addition of SQOR were subtracted.

Experiments with cyanide as the sulfur acceptor were carried out similarly, but using 90  $\mu\text{M}$  cyanide instead of sulfite and 50 nM SQOR. Reactions were started with cyanide instead of enzyme.

To ensure that CoQ<sub>1</sub> and  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$  were saturating at all pH values, the activity of SQOR at the extreme pH values was measured using 0.8 or 4 mM sulfite and 1 or 2 nM SQOR and compared to the activity with higher concentrations of either  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$  (300  $\mu\text{M}$ ) or CoQ<sub>1</sub> (108  $\mu\text{M}$ ). To control for the lack of irreversible inactivation at the extreme pHs, 6 nM SQOR was preincubated for 20 to 40 s in MES/Tris/ethanolamine buffer at pH 5.65, 7.25, or 9.43 with 0.03% DHPC in a total volume of 200  $\mu\text{l}$ . Then, the activity was measured as in a typical assay but using the preincubated enzyme and 0.8 mM sulfite in 82 mM Tris buffer with 82 mM sulfate, pH 7.4 (final concentrations).

Experiments in the presence of chloride were performed as in the regular assay with sulfite as the sulfur acceptor but in the acetic/MES/Tris buffer (pH 7.17), which contained 120 mM NaCl instead of sulfate.

#### ***TST activity assays***

Human TST was expressed and purified as described previously (24). The concentration was estimated using an absorption coefficient calculated from the amino acid sequence ( $\epsilon_{280} = 53,400 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ) (62).



The activity of TST was measured at different pH values and 25 °C by the steady-state rate of thiocyanate formation. The enzyme (5–100 nM) was reacted with 300 mM thiosulfate and 300  $\mu$ M cyanide in ACES/Tris/ethanolamine buffer in a pH range of 7.03 to 10.12. After 30 to 90 s, the reactions were stopped by removing 305  $\mu$ l aliquots and mixing them with 20  $\mu$ l of 38% formaldehyde (Biopack) and 25  $\mu$ l of 140 mM FeCl<sub>3</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O (Sigma-Aldrich) diluted in 32.5% HNO<sub>3</sub> (Dorwil). Absorbances at 460 nm (Varian Cary 60, Agilent) were recorded immediately and interpolated into a 0 to 30  $\mu$ M thiocyanate calibration curve. The thiocyanate standards were prepared daily in ACES/Tris/ethanolamine buffer, pH 7.8, and underwent the same procedures as the samples (1).

To ensure that the thiosulfate concentration was saturating and that the cyanide concentration was below the  $K_m^{\text{cyanide}}$ , TST activity was measured at the most acidic and alkaline pHs tested, using 400 mM thiosulfate and 300  $\mu$ M cyanide or 300 mM thiosulfate and 150  $\mu$ M cyanide, at pH 7.1 and 9.7. To control for the lack of irreversible inactivation, 5 nM TST was incubated with 300 mM thiosulfate and 300  $\mu$ M cyanide at pH 7.1, in a total volume of 1.39 ml. After 220 s, 5  $\mu$ l of 5 M NaOH were added, changing the pH to 8.5. The concentrations of thiocyanate produced at 252 and 310 s were measured as described.

### Stopped-flow kinetics of TST reactions

The pH-dependency of the reaction rates of TST persulfide with sulfur acceptors and of TST thiol with thiosulfate were studied by following changes in the intrinsic fluorescence ( $\lambda_{\text{ex}}$  = 295 nm, US 360 nm bandpass filter) in the stopped-flow spectrofluorimeter.

To prepare persulfidated TST, stocks ( $\sim$  20  $\mu$ M) were incubated with  $\sim$  150  $\mu$ M thiosulfate for 15 min at room temperature. The remaining thiosulfate and the formed sulfite were removed with a PD MidiTrap G-25 column (Cytiva) equilibrated with 10 mM Tris buffer, pH 8.5. The persulfidated TST was diluted in ultrapure water in one of the stopped-flow syringes (1.6–2.0  $\mu$ M,  $\sim$  2 mM Tris) and mixed with sulfite (30 and 150  $\mu$ M) or cyanide (50 and 200  $\mu$ M) prepared in the other syringe in ACES/Tris/ethanolamine buffer 2 $\times$  in a pH range of 5.60 to 10.38, at 25 °C. The final concentrations were halved as a consequence of the stopped-flow mixing. The increase in intrinsic fluorescence due to thiol formation was recorded during 0.025 to 10 s depending on the time course. The final pH of the reaction mixtures was measured.

To prepare TST thiol,  $\sim$  100  $\mu$ M thiosulfate was added to TST stocks ( $\sim$  20  $\mu$ M) at room temperature. After 15 min,  $\sim$  200  $\mu$ M cyanide was added and left to react for 10 min. The remaining LMW compounds were removed with a PD MidiTrap G-25 column equilibrated with 10 mM Tris buffer, pH 8.5. The TST thiol was diluted in ultrapure water (1.8–2.0  $\mu$ M) in one of the stopped-flow syringes and reacted with thiosulfate (400  $\mu$ M) prepared in the other syringe in either acetic/MES/Tris buffer 2 $\times$  (pH 3.68–8.75) or in ACES/Tris/

ethanolamine buffer 2 $\times$  (pH 6.68–10.34), at 25 °C. The final concentrations were halved as a result of the symmetrical stopped-flow mixing. The decrease in the intrinsic fluorescence caused by persulfide formation was recorded during 0.8 to 200 s depending on the time course, and the final pH was measured.

### Data availability

All data are contained within the manuscript and in the [supporting information](#).

*Supporting information*—This article contains supporting information.

*Acknowledgments*—We thank Aaron P. Landry (University of Michigan, USA) and Mariana Bonilla (Institut Pasteur de Montevideo, Uruguay) for help with the SQOR and TST experiments, respectively. We thank Gerardo Ferrer-Sueta, Matías N. Möller and Jenner Bonanata (Universidad de la República, Uruguay), and Jonathan A. Semelak and Darío A. Estrin (Universidad de Buenos Aires, Argentina) for helpful discussions.

*Author contributions*—D. B., E. C., R. B., and B. A. conceptualization; D. B. and J. V. R. investigation; D. B., E. C., R. B., and B. A. formal analysis; D. B. and B. A. writing—original draft; D. B., E. C., J. V. R., R. B., and B. A. writing—review and editing.

*Funding and additional information*—This work was supported in part by grants from the Comisión Sectorial de Investigación Científica (CSIC), Universidad de la República to B. A., E. C., and D. B., from the ECOS Sud program to B. A. (U20B01), and from the National Institutes of Health to R. B. (R35-GM130183) and to J. V. R. (F32-GM144988). D. B. was partially supported by Comisión Académica de Posgrado (CAP), Universidad de la República, by PEDECIBA (Uruguay), and by the American Society for Biochemistry and Molecular Biology (ASBMB, PROLAB award). The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health.

*Conflict of interest*—The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest with the contents of this article.

*Abbreviations*—The abbreviations used are:  $\beta$ -MESSH,  $\beta$ -mercaptoethanol persulfide; Acc<sup>−</sup>, acceptor; CoQ, coenzyme Q; CT, charge transfer; CysOMeSSH, cysteine methyl ester persulfide; CysSSH, cysteine persulfide; CystSSH, cysteamine persulfide; DHPC, 1,2-diheptanoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine; DTPA, diethylenetriamine pentaacetic acid; GSH, glutathione; GSSH, glutathione persulfide; HcySSH, homocysteine persulfide;  $k_{\text{ind}}$ , pH-independent rate constant;  $k_{\text{obs}}$ , observed rate constant;  $k_{\text{pH}}$ , second order rate constant at a specific pH; LMW, low molecular weight; mBrB, monobromobimane; RSH, thiol; RSSH, hydropersulfide; SQOR, sulfide quinone oxidoreductase; TST, thiosulfate sulfurtransferase.

### References

1. Wood, J. L. (1987) Sulfane sulfur. *Methods Enzymol.* **143**, 25–29
2. Bouillaud, F., and Blachier, F. (2011) Mitochondria and sulfide: a very old story of poisoning, feeding, and signaling? *Antioxid. Redox Signal.* **15**, 379–391

3. Filipovic, M. R., Zivanovic, J., Alvarez, B., and Banerjee, R. (2018) Chemical biology of H<sub>2</sub>S signaling through persulfidation. *Chem. Rev.* **118**, 1253–1337
4. Mueller, E. G. (2006) Trafficking in persulfides: delivering sulfur in biosynthetic pathways. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* **2**, 185–194
5. Cuevasanta, E., Lange, M., Bonanata, J., Coitino, E. L., Ferrer-Sueta, G., Filipovic, M. R., et al. (2015) Reaction of hydrogen sulfide with disulfide and sulfenic acid to form the strongly nucleophilic persulfide. *J. Biol. Chem.* **290**, 26866–26880
6. Greiner, R., Pálkás, Z., Bäsell, K., Becher, D., Antelmann, H., Nagy, P., et al. (2013) Polysulfides link H<sub>2</sub>S to protein thiol oxidation. *Antioxid. Redox Signal.* **19**, 1749–1765
7. Zhang, D., Macinkovic, I., Devarie-Baez, N. O., Pan, J., Park, C.-M., Carroll, K. S., et al. (2014) Detection of protein S-sulhydration by a tag-switch technique. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed Engl.* **53**, 575–581
8. Yadav, P. K., Yamada, K., Chiku, T., Koutmos, M., and Banerjee, R. (2013) Structure and kinetic analysis of H<sub>2</sub>S production by human mercaptopyruvate sulfurtransferase. *J. Biol. Chem.* **288**, 20002–20013
9. Ida, T., Sawa, T., Ihara, H., Tsuchiya, Y., Watanabe, Y., Kumagai, Y., et al. (2014) Reactive cysteine persulfides and S-polythiolation regulate oxidative stress and redox signaling. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **111**, 7606–7611
10. Yadav, P. K., Martinov, M., Vitvitsky, V., Seravalli, J., Wedmann, R., Filipovic, M. R., et al. (2016) Biosynthesis and reactivity of cysteine persulfides in signaling. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **138**, 289–299
11. Dóka, É., Ida, T., Dagnell, M., Abiko, Y., Luong, N. C., Balog, N., et al. (2020) Control of protein function through oxidation and reduction of persulfidated states. *Sci. Adv.* **6**, eaax8358
12. Dóka, É., Pader, I., Biró, A., Johansson, K., Cheng, Q., Ballagó, K., et al. (2016) A novel persulfide detection method reveals protein persulfide- and polysulfide-reducing functions of thioredoxin and glutathione systems. *Sci. Adv.* **2**, e1500968
13. Benchoam, D., Semelak, J. A., Cuevasanta, E., Mastrogiovanni, M., Grassano, J. S., Ferrer-Sueta, G., et al. (2020) Acidity and nucleophilic reactivity of glutathione persulfide. *J. Biol. Chem.* **295**, 15466–15481
14. Chauvin, J.-P. R., Griesser, M., and Pratt, D. A. (2017) Hydro-persulfides: H-atom transfer agents par excellence. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **139**, 6484–6493
15. Everett, S. A., Folkes, L. K., Wardman, P., and Asmus, K. D. (1994) Free-radical repair by a novel perthiol: reversible hydrogen transfer and perthyl radical formation. *Free Radic. Res.* **20**, 387–400
16. Portillo-Ledesma, S., Sardi, F., Manta, B., Tourn, M. V., Clippe, A., Knoops, B., et al. (2014) Deconstructing the catalytic efficiency of peroxiredoxin-5 peroxidic cysteine. *Biochemistry* **53**, 6113–6125
17. Jencks, W. P., and Carriuolo, J. (1960) Reactivity of nucleophilic reagents toward esters. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **82**, 1778–1786
18. Edwards, J. O., and Pearson, R. G. (1962) The factors determining nucleophilic reactivities. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **84**, 16–24
19. Benchoam, D., Cuevasanta, E., Semelak, J. A., Mastrogiovanni, M., Estrin, D. A., Möller, M. N., et al. (2023) Disulfides form persulfides at alkaline pH leading to potential overestimations in the cold cyanolysis method. *Free Radic. Biol. Med.* **207**, 63–71
20. Landry, A. P., Ballou, D. P., and Banerjee, R. (2021) Hydrogen sulfide oxidation by sulfide quinone oxidoreductase. *ChemBiochem* **22**, 949–960
21. Landry, A. P., Moon, S., Kim, H., Yadav, P. K., Guha, A., Cho, U.-S., et al. (2019) A catalytic trisulfide in human sulfide quinone oxidoreductase catalyzes coenzyme A persulfide synthesis and inhibits butyrate oxidation. *Cell Chem. Biol.* **26**, 1515–1525.e4
22. Jackson, M. R., Loll, P. J., and Jorns, M. S. (2019) X-Ray structure of human sulfide:quinone oxidoreductase: Insights into the mechanism of mitochondrial hydrogen sulfide oxidation. *Structure* **27**, 794–805.e4
23. Hildebrandt, T. M., and Grieshaber, M. K. (2008) Three enzymatic activities catalyze the oxidation of sulfide to thiosulfate in mammalian and invertebrate mitochondria. *FEBS J.* **275**, 3352–3361
24. Libiad, M., Yadav, P. K., Vitvitsky, V., Martinov, M., and Banerjee, R. (2014) Organization of the human mitochondrial hydrogen sulfide oxidation pathway. *J. Biol. Chem.* **289**, 30901–30910
25. Jackson, M. R., Melideo, S. L., and Jorns, M. S. (2012) Human sulfide: quinone oxidoreductase catalyzes the first step in hydrogen sulfide metabolism and produces a sulfane sulfur metabolite. *Biochemistry* **51**, 6804–6815
26. Landry, A. P., Ballou, D. P., and Banerjee, R. (2018) Modulation of catalytic promiscuity during hydrogen sulfide oxidation. *ACS Chem. Biol.* **13**, 1651–1658
27. Landry, A. P., Ballou, D. P., and Banerjee, R. (2017) H<sub>2</sub>S oxidation by nanodisc-embedded human sulfide quinone oxidoreductase. *J. Biol. Chem.* **292**, 11641–11649
28. Kabil, O., and Banerjee, R. (2012) Characterization of patient mutations in human persulfide dioxygenase (ETHE1) involved in H<sub>2</sub>S catabolism. *J. Biol. Chem.* **287**, 44561–44567
29. Melideo, S. L., Jackson, M. R., and Jorns, M. S. (2014) Biosynthesis of a central intermediate in hydrogen sulfide metabolism by a novel human sulfurtransferase and its yeast ortholog. *Biochemistry* **53**, 4739–4753
30. Schlesinger, P., and Westley, J. (1974) An Expanded mechanism for rhodanese catalysis. *J. Biol. Chem.* **249**, 780–788
31. Jarabak, R., and Westley, J. (1974) Human liver rhodanese. Nonlinear kinetic behavior in a double displacement mechanism. *Biochemistry* **13**, 3233–3236
32. Fersht, A. (1999) The meaning of kcat/KM: the specificity constant (Chapter 3: the basic equations of enzyme kinetics). In *Structure and Mechanism in Protein Science: A Guide to Enzyme Catalysis and Protein Folding*, W. H. Freeman, New York: 110–111
33. Park, C. (2022) Visual interpretation of the meaning of kcat/KM in enzyme kinetics. *J. Chem. Educ.* **99**, 2556–2562
34. Rhee, J. S., and Dasgupta, P. K. (1985) The second dissociation constant of sulfur dioxide/water. *J. Phys. Chem.* **89**, 1799–1804
35. Verhoeven, P., Heftner, G., and May, P. M. (1990) Dissociation constant of hydrogen cyanide in saline solutions. *Min. Metall. Explor.* **7**, 185–188
36. Kurashova, I., and Kamyshny, A. (2019) Kinetics of thiocyanate formation by reaction of cyanide and its iron complexes with thiosulfate. *Aquat. Geochem.* **25**, 219–236
37. Horowitz, P., and Criscimagna, N. L. (1983) The use of intrinsic protein fluorescence to quantitate enzyme-bound persulfide and to measure equilibria between intermediates in rhodanese catalysis. *J. Biol. Chem.* **258**, 7894–7896
38. Cannella, C., Berni, R., Rosato, N., and Finazzi-Agrò, A. (1986) Active site modifications quench intrinsic fluorescence of rhodanese by different mechanisms. *Biochemistry* **25**, 7319–7323
39. Weng, L., Heinrikson, R. L., and Westley, J. (1978) Active site cysteinyl and arginyl residues of rhodanese. A novel formation of disulfide bonds in the active site promoted by phenylglyoxal. *J. Biol. Chem.* **253**, 8109–8119
40. Lec, J.-C., Boutserin, S., Mazon, H., Mulliert, G., Boschi-Muller, S., and Talfournier, F. (2018) Unraveling the mechanism of cysteine persulfide formation catalyzed by 3-mercaptopyruvate sulfurtransferases. *ACS Catal.* **8**, 2049–2059
41. Mirzahassemi, A., and Noszá, B. (2014) The species- and site-specific acid-base properties of biological thiols and their homodisulfides. *J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal.* **95**, 184–192
42. Rabenstein, D. L. (1973) Nuclear magnetic resonance studies of the acid-base chemistry of amino acids and peptides. I. Microscopic ionization constants of glutathione and methylmercury-complexed glutathione. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **95**, 2797–2803
43. Kallen, R. G. (1971) Equilibria for the reaction of cysteine and derivatives with formaldehyde and protons. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **93**, 6227–6235
44. Sardi, F., Manta, B., Portillo-Ledesma, S., Knoops, B., Comini, M. A., and Ferrer-Sueta, G. (2013) Determination of acidity and nucleophilicity in thiols by reaction with monobromobimane and fluorescence detection. *Anal. Biochem.* **435**, 74–82
45. Benaïchouché, M., Bosser, G., Paris, J., and Plichon, V. (1990) Relative nucleophilicities of aryl disulfide and thiolate ions in dimethylacetamide estimated from their reaction rates with alkyl halides. *J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2*, 1421–1424
46. Haag, W. R., and Mill, T. (1988) Some reactions of naturally occurring nucleophiles with haloalkanes in water. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* **7**, 917–924

47. Seo, W. T. M., Ballesteros, M. I., and Tsui, E. Y. (2022) Sulfane decreases the nucleophilic reactivity of zinc thiolates: Implications for biological reactive sulfur species. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **144**, 20630–20640
48. Cuevasanta, E., Reyes, A. M., Zeida, A., Mastrogiovanni, M., De Armas, M. I., Radi, R., *et al.* (2019) Kinetics of formation and reactivity of the persulfide in the one-cysteine peroxiredoxin from *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *J. Biol. Chem.* **294**, 13593–13605
49. Ren, Y., and Yamataka, H. (2006) The alpha-effect in gas-phase SN2 reactions revisited. *Org. Lett.* **8**, 119–121
50. Hansen, T., Vermeeren, P., Bickelhaupt, F. M., and Hamlin, T. A. (2021) Origin of the  $\alpha$ -effect in SN2 reactions. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed Engl.* **60**, 20840–20848
51. Hoz, S. (1982) The  $\alpha$  effect: on the origin of transition-state stabilization. *J. Org. Chem.* **47**, 3545–3547
52. Buncel, E., and Um, I.-H. (2004) The  $\alpha$ -effect and its modulation by solvent. *Tetrahedron* **60**, 7801
53. Jahn, S. C., Rowland-Faux, L., Stacpoole, P. W., and James, M. O. (2015) Chloride concentrations in human hepatic cytosol and mitochondria are a function of age. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **459**, 463–468
54. Marutani, E., Yamada, M., Ida, T., Tokuda, K., Ikeda, K., Kai, S., *et al.* (2015) Thiosulfate Mediates Cytoprotective effects of hydrogen sulfide against Neuronal Ischemia. *J. Am. Heart Assoc.* **4**, e002125
55. Tiranti, V., Viscomi, C., Hildebrandt, T., Di Meo, I., Mineri, R., Tiveron, C., *et al.* (2009) Loss of ETHE1, a mitochondrial dioxxygenase, causes fatal sulfide toxicity in ethylmalonic encephalopathy. *Nat. Med.* **15**, 200–205
56. Harris, T. K., and Turner, G. J. (2002) Structural basis of perturbed pKa values of catalytic groups in enzyme active sites. *IUBMB Life* **53**, 85–98
57. Ploegman, J. H., Drent, G., Kalk, K. H., and Hol, W. G. (1979) The structure of bovine liver rhodanese. II. The active site in the sulfur-substituted and the sulfur-free enzyme. *J. Mol. Biol.* **127**, 149–162
58. Trevino, R. J., Gliubich, F., Berni, R., Cianci, M., Chirgwin, J. M., Zanotti, G., *et al.* (1999) NH2-terminal sequence Truncation decreases the stability of bovine rhodanese, minimally Perturbs its crystal structure, and Enhances Interaction with GroEL under Native conditions. *J. Biol. Chem.* **274**, 13938–13947
59. Luo, G. X., and Horowitz, P. M. (1994) The sulfurtransferase activity and structure of rhodanese are affected by site-directed replacement of Arg-186 or Lys-249. *J. Biol. Chem.* **269**, 8220–8225
60. Kosower, E. M., and Kosower, N. S. (1995) Bromobimane probes for thiols. *Methods Enzymol.* **251**, 133–148
61. Ellis, K. J., and Morrison, J. F. (1982) Buffers of constant ionic strength for studying pH-dependent processes. *Methods Enzymol.* **87**, 405–426
62. Gasteiger, E., Hoogland, C., Gattiker, A., Duvaud, S., Wilkins, M. R., Appel, R. D., *et al.* (2005) Protein Identification and analysis Tools on the ExPASy Server. In: Walker, J. M., ed. *The Proteomics Protocols Handbook*, Springer Protocols Handbooks, Humana Press, Totowa, NJ: 571–607
63. Smith, R. M., Martell, A. E., and Motekaitis, R. J. (1993) NIST Critical stability constants of metal complexes database *NIST Standard Reference Database*. U.S. Dept. of Commerce, National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg. Standard Reference Data Program
64. Serjeant, E. P., and Dempsey, B. (1979) *Ionisation Constants of Organic Acids in Aqueous Solution*, Pergamon Press, Oxford, NY
65. Sehnal, D., Bittrich, S., Deshpande, M., Svobodová, R., Berka, K., Bazgier, V., *et al.* (2021) Mol\* Viewer: modern web app for 3D visualization and analysis of large biomolecular structures. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **49**, W431–W437