From Data to Decision: Understanding and Mitigating Uncertainty in Watershed Water Quality Models

A. Gorgoglione[1]

[1]Department of Fluid Mechanics and Environmental Engineering, School of Engineering, Universidad de la República, Montevideo, Uruguay
Corresponding author email: agorgoglione@fing.edu.uy

keywords: *uncertainty; water quality; modeling; watershed.*

Introduction

Water quality models are essential tools for understanding, managing, and predicting the impacts of various factors on the quality of water within a watershed (Russo et al., 2023). These models play a crucial role in environmental management, informing policies and decisions related to water resources, pollution control, and ecosystem conservation. However, the accuracy and reliability of these models are often challenged by various sources of uncertainty, which can significantly affect their predictive capabilities and confidence in their outputs (Gorgoglione et al., 2019).

The objective of this paper is to identify and analyze the sources of uncertainty in water quality models at the watershed scale. By doing so, we aim to provide a comprehensive understanding of the factors that contribute to uncertainty and offer insights into how these uncertainties can be managed or mitigated. Understanding these uncertainties is critical for improving model performance, enhancing decision-making, and ultimately achieving better outcomes for water resource management.

Sources of Uncertainty

Model Structure Uncertainty

Conceptual Models: Model structure uncertainty arises from the conceptualization of the processes within the watershed. Different models may conceptualize hydrological and biogeochemical processes in various ways, leading to differences in model structure. For instance, some models may represent nutrient cycling with a higher level of detail, while others might simplify these processes. These differences can result in varying outputs and predictions.

Model Simplifications: Simplifications and assumptions made during model development contribute significantly to structural uncertainty. For example, assumptions about homogeneous soil properties or uniform land use within a watershed can simplify the modeling process but may not accurately reflect the true variability present in the natural environment. These simplifications can lead to discrepancies between modeled and actual water quality.

Input Data Uncertainty

Data Quality: The quality of input data is a major source of uncertainty. Input data such as precipitation, temperature, soil characteristics, and land use are often subject to measurement errors, gaps, and inaccuracies. Poor quality or incomplete data can lead to significant deviations in model outputs.

Spatial and Temporal Resolution: The spatial and temporal resolution of input data also plays a critical role in determining model accuracy. High-resolution data can capture more detailed variations within the watershed, but such data are often unavailable or impractical to obtain. Conversely, low-resolution data might overlook important local variations, introducing uncertainty into the model predictions.

Parameter Uncertainty

Parameter Estimation: Water quality models require numerous parameters, many of which cannot be directly measured and must be estimated. The process of parameter estimation introduces uncertainty due to the variability and limited availability of data. Different estimation techniques can yield different parameter values, affecting model outcomes.

Sensitivity Analysis: The sensitivity of model outputs to parameter changes is another important aspect of parameter uncertainty. Some parameters may have a greater influence on model results than others.

Conducting sensitivity analyses helps identify these critical parameters and understand how their uncertainty propagates through the model.

Calibration and Validation Uncertainty

Calibration Processes: Model calibration involves adjusting model parameters to match observed data. This process is inherently uncertain due to the limited availability and quality of observational data. Overfitting the model to specific datasets can also reduce its generalizability to other conditions.

Validation Techniques: Validation of water quality models is performed by comparing model outputs to independent observed data. The choice of validation techniques and datasets introduces uncertainty, as discrepancies between observed and modeled data can arise from various sources, including measurement errors and model limitations.

Model Boundary and Initial Conditions

Boundary Conditions: Defining model boundaries accurately is essential but challenging. Uncertainty arises when the boundaries of the watershed are not well-defined or when external inputs (e.g., upstream inflows) are not accurately characterized. Misrepresenting boundary conditions can lead to significant errors in model predictions.

Initial Conditions: Setting initial conditions for model simulations introduces uncertainty, especially when historical data are incomplete or not representative of the current state of the watershed. Incorrect initial conditions can propagate through the model, affecting long-term predictions.

External Factors

Climate Change: Climate change projections introduce significant uncertainty into water quality models. Changes in temperature, precipitation patterns, and extreme weather events can alter hydrological and biogeochemical processes in ways that are difficult to predict. Incorporating climate change scenarios into models is essential but adds another layer of uncertainty.

Land Use Changes: Land use and management practices within the watershed can change over time, influencing water quality. Predicting future land use changes and their impacts on water quality is fraught with uncertainty due to the complex interactions between human activities and natural processes.

Policy and Management Scenarios: Different policy and management scenarios, such as agricultural practices and conservation efforts, can have varying impacts on water quality. The uncertainty in predicting the outcomes of these scenarios stems from the complex and often nonlinear interactions between multiple factors.

Future Directions

Addressing the sources of uncertainty in water quality models requires a multifaceted approach. Future research should focus on developing models that better represent the complex processes within watersheds, thereby reducing structural uncertainty. This includes integrating advances in hydrological and biogeochemical sciences into model frameworks. Additionally, enhancing data collection methods through the use of remote sensing technologies, citizen science, and other innovative approaches can improve the quality and availability of input data. Employing advanced techniques such as machine learning for parameter estimation can better capture the variability and uncertainty of model parameters. Conducting comprehensive sensitivity and uncertainty analyses will provide deeper insights into the key drivers of model uncertainty and guide efforts to reduce it. Improving the accuracy of climate and land use change projections and incorporating them into models can enhance the ability to predict future water quality scenarios. Finally, encouraging interdisciplinary collaboration among hydrologists, ecologists, climate scientists, and policymakers is essential for developing more holistic and effective solutions for managing water quality under uncertainty.

References

Gorgoglione, A., Bombardelli, F.A., Pitton, B.J., Oki, L.R., Haver, D.L., Young, T.M., 2019. Uncertainty in the parameterization of sediment build-up and wash-off processes in the simulation of sediment transport in urban areas. *Environ. Model. Softw.*, 111, 170–181.

Russo, C., Castro, A., Gioia, A., Iacobellis, V., Gorgoglione, A., 2023. Improving the sediment and nutrient firstflush prediction and ranking its influencing factors: An integrated machine-learning framework. *Journal of Hydrology*, 616:128842.