Chapter Title	Thermal Analy	rsis of a Social Interest Household in Uruguay
Copyright Year	2023	
Copyright Holder	The Author(s),	under exclusive license to Springer Nature Switzerland AG
Corresponding Author	Family Name	Favre
	Particle	
	Given Name	Federico
	Suffix	
	Division	Faculty of Engineering
	Organization	UdelaR
	Address	Montevideo, Uruguay
	Email	ffavre@fing.edu.uy
Author	Family Name	Pena
	Particle	
	Given Name	Gabriel
	Suffix	
	Division	Faculty of Engineering
	Organization	UdelaR
	Address	Montevideo, Uruguay
	Email	gabpena@fing.edu.uy
Author	Family Name	Gervaz
	Particle	
	Given Name	Sofía
	Suffix	
	Division	Faculty of Engineering
	Organization	UdelaR
	Address	Montevideo, Uruguay
Author	Family Name	Romero
	Particle	
	Given Name	Juan
	Suffix	
	Division	Faculty of Engineering
	Organization	UdelaR
	Address	Montevideo, Uruguay
Author	Family Name	López
	Particle	
	Given Name	María

# Metadata of the chapter that will be visualized online

	Suffix	
	Division	Faculty of Architecture
	Organization	UdelaR
	Address	Montevideo, Uruguay
Author	Family Name	Pereira
	Particle	
	Given Name	Lucía
	Suffix	
	Division	Faculty of Architecture
	Organization	UdelaR
	Address	Montevideo, Uruguay
Abstract	The thermal characteristics of a social interest archetype in Uruguay were analyzed in order to determine possible improvements. EnergyPlus was used to simulate the household during a typical year, estimating cooling and heating requirements for thermal comfort in several configurations. The accuracy of the model was verified by simulating a real case where the temperature of a particular household was monitored during a period of 2 weeks; good agreement was found between numerical and experimental results. The obtained results show that the energy requirements to achieve thermal comfort in this particular archetype can be reduced with small design variations and specially implementing training workshops for the owner of the houses.	
Keywords (separated by '-')	Thermal Comf	ort - Social Interest Household - EnergyPlus Simulations

# Chapter 92 Thermal Analysis of a Social Interest Household in Uruguay

Federico Favre, Gabriel Pena, Sofía Gervaz, Juan Romero, María López, and Lucía Pereira

Nomenclature

HVAC	Heat Ventilation Air Conditioning	6
MEVIR	Movement for the Eradication of Unhealthy Rural Housing	7
COP	Coefficient of Performance	8
NPV	Net Present Value	9

# 92.1 Introduction

In recent years, social inclusion policies have been paying more attention to the 11 energetic demand for low-income socio-economic sectors in Uruguay. The energy 12 required for thermal comfort usually cannot be affordable for families in this context. 13 In the present work, the thermal behavior of a household of a social-interest typology 14 is studied in order to analyze possible improvements for its design. The house is the 15 archetype designed by the "Movement for the Eradication of Unhealthy Rural 16 Housing" (MEVIR). 17

10

1

2

3

4

5

F. Favre (⊠) · G. Pena · S. Gervaz · J. Romero Faculty of Engineering, UdelaR, Montevideo, Uruguay e-mail: ffavre@fing.edu.uy; gabpena@fing.edu.uy

M. López · L. Pereira Faculty of Architecture, UdelaR, Montevideo, Uruguay

<sup>©</sup> The Author(s), under exclusive license to Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2023 M. Z. Sogut et al. (eds.), *Proceedings of the 2022 International Symposium on Energy Management and Sustainability*, Springer Proceedings in Energy, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-30171-1\_92

## 18 92.2 Methodology

The study was carried out by means of numerical simulations using EnergyPlus
software developed by Dury et al. (2000); a sketch of the geometry is presented in
Fig. 92.1.

EnergyPlus default models were used for the surface heat balances and convection coefficients calculations. For solving the conduction heat fluxes at walls and roofs, the *ConductionTransferFunction* was used. To determine conduction through the ground, the model selected was *GroundDomain:Slab*. For windows heat transfer, the layer-by-layer approach was followed, whereas infiltration and ventilation loads were solved by means of the *AirflowNetwork* model. Finally, energy requirements were calculated using an ideal HVAC system.

The internal and external temperature of one particular household was monitored during a period of 2 weeks. The obtained measures were compared with a simulation for that particular period in order to verify the accuracy of the model, obtaining a very good agreement between numerical and experimental data, according to the ASHRAE Guideline 14.

Using the verified model, a base case is simulated for an entire year to analyze the 34 thermal behavior of the house. To do that, the Typical Meteorological Year of 35 Montevideo from Alonso-Suarez et al. (2016) was used. The Uruguayan climate is 36 mild and wet according to the Köppen classification. It must be noted that Uruguay is 37 38 located in the south hemisphere, and because of that, winter is from June to August and the sun path is through the north. The energy required to achieve the adaptive 39 thermal comfort ASHRAE-55 was determined by varying the orientation with a step 40 of 30°. Cooling and heating requirements were differentiated. Then, variations of the 41 base case were simulated, such as (i) reduction of the roof thermal transmittance, 42 (ii) inclusion of solar protection in every window, and (iii) a more efficient user 43 regarding the solar protections and natural ventilation operation. These variations 44



Fig. 92.1 Model of the household

represent 48 simulations. Therefore, in order to automate the simulation process, a 45 python script based on Eppy libraries was implemented. 46

For each energy efficiency measure, an economic analysis was performed in order 47 to determine which are the more attractive measures. The cost for implementing each 48 measure is calculated considering additional materials and labor costs. The economic 49 benefits due to energy saving were estimated considering that the thermal require-50 ments are covered with electric systems with a COP of 2. Finally, the repayment time 51 (as the time to achieve positive NPV) is calculated according to these estimations for 52 each measure. 53

#### 92.3 Results and Discussion

#### 92.3.1 Base Case

First, a standard case, named Base Case, was simulated in order to characterize the 56 household thermal behavior for the original design. The geometry is presented in 57 Fig. 92.1. The envelope materials are summarized in Table 92.1 and correspond to 58 the one reported by MEVIR. 59

To determine the air tightness, a blower door test was carried out in a particular 60 MEVIR household. A value of  $n_{50} = 7.77ACH$  was measured. With this value, local 61 tightness was estimated according to the windows' and doors' locations in order to 62 define the AirflowNetwork parameters. 63

The occupants' schedules and behaviors (regarding ventilation and solar protections) were defined, trying to represent as accurately as possible the real occupants. To do so, several interviews were carried on with families living in MEVIR 66 houses. A family with two adults and two minors was defined as standard. The 67 schedule and user behavior according to solar protection and ventilation are summarized in Table 92.2. 69

#### **Impact of Orientation**

The base case was simulated for different orientations. The  $0^{\circ}$  orientation corresponds to the front facade oriented to the north, as shown in Fig. 92.2, which in the south hemisphere is the one facing the sun. The cooling and heating requirements obtained are presented in Fig. 92.3. As can be observed, this house performs better in 74

			U	
Item	Composition (cm)	Thickness	(W/m2K)	t1.2
External	Brick $(12)$ + Basecoat $(1)$ + Air cam $(2)$ + EPS	30	0.67	t1.3
wall	(3) + Brick (12)			
Roof	Sheet steel $(0.05)$ + glass wool $(5 \text{ cm})$ + OSB wood $(1.5)$	6.55	0.7	t1.4
Floor	Ceramic $(1.5)$ + Mortero $(2)$ + concrete $(12)$	15.5	-	t1.5
Windows	Simple glass and aluminum frame	0.4	5.8	t1.6

Table 92.1 Typology specifications

54

55

70

t1.1

t2.2	Item	From 16/03 up to 15/11	From 16/11 up to 15/03
t2.3	Bedroom occupation	22-07 (all); 17-20 (D2 & D3	3)
	Living room and kitchen occupation	07–09 (3p); 09–18 (1p);	
		18–20 (2p); 20–22 (4p).	
t2.4		Weekends: 09–18 (3p).	
t2.5	Ventilation (open windows)	12–13	08-13 and 18-24
t2.6	Solar protection (open)	08–18	08-14 and 18-22

t2.1 Table 92.2 Users' schedule main point



0°



Fig. 92.2 Model of the household



Fig. 92.3 Base case HVAC requirements



Fig. 92.4 Envelope net heat gains; house orientation 0°

winter than in summer for all orientations, being cooling demand much higher than 75 heating demand. This is due to the low transmittance of the envelope. Because of 76 that, in winter with the internal gains (people and equipment), the solar gains, and a 77 small energy demand, the comfort temperature can be achieved. However, in 78 summer, this internal and solar gains, and also the gains through the envelope and 79 air infiltrations, must be compensated by de HVAC systems, generating an important 80 cooling demand.

As was expected, an important dependency between the energy requirement and 82 the house orientation was observed. Cooling requirements are maximized for the 83 270° orientation, for which the bedroom windows (which include sun protection) 84 face north, while the kitchen and living room windows (both without sun protection) 85 face east and west, respectively. On the other hand, the most critical orientation for 86 heating is 90°, for which no opening faces north. 87

#### **Envelope Net Heat Gains**

88

The difference between gains and losses for the roof, exterior walls, and floor are 89 presented in Fig. 92.4. First, the floor heat flow is outgoing during all-year round. 90 This is because the underground temperature is always lower than the inside 91 temperature. These heat losses range from 50 up to 100 kWh per month, being 92 significant (and negative) during the cold period. Second, the net balance for the 93 exterior walls only generates heat gains in the summer months, although they are 94 very low. Heat losses are maximized between April and August, overtaking 95 150 kWh per month. Lastly, according to the results of the simulations, roof is the 96 opaque envelope surface that causes greater heat gains in summer and greater heat 97 losses in winter for this typology. This is the usual behavior of houses exposed to 98 Uruguayan climate, with similar walls and roof thermal transmittances. A reduction 99 of the roof transmittance could reduce energy demand.



Fig. 92.5 Windows' solar gains; house orientation 0°

#### 101 Windows' Solar Gains

102 Glazed surfaces are the ones that generate the greatest net heat gains, so their 103 orientation is central to the house thermal behavior. The net heat gains for each 104 facade for the house at orientation 0° are presented in Fig. 92.5. As was expected, 105 north facade windows (green curve) present a positive oscillation according to 106 energy requirements. This means higher gains in winter (around 240 kWh/month) 107 than in summer (150 kWh/month).

On the other hand, windows oriented to the east (blue curve in Fig. 92.5), as well as west oriented, present high net gains in summer, with values over 250 kWh/month in December and January. This is due to the high direct solar radiation during the morning. Therefore, including solar protections with an adequate operation could reduce cooling energy demand.

#### 113 Air Infiltration and Natural Ventilation

The annual evolution of infiltrations and ventilation heat losses are presented together in Fig. 92.6 since the EnergyPlus version used in this study does not allow discriminating it. Important heat losses are observed in summer, over 400 kWh/month, mainly due to ventilation. These heat losses are good since they reduce cooling demand. Then, an adequate operation of the windows can be very beneficial in the final energy requirements.

In winter months, the occupants maintain the windows closed for more time. Because of that, low heat losses are obtained in that period (less than 100 kWh/ month).



Fig. 92.6 Infiltration and natural ventilation losses. Base case, orientation 0°

#### 92.3.2 **Energy Efficiency Measures**

Following, three energy efficiency measures are studied in order to improve even 124 more the thermal behavior of the house. As was noted before, solar protection is 125 expected to reduce cooling demand. Also, a more efficient operation of solar pro-126 tections and openings can save energy demand. Moreover, a reduction of the roof 127 transmittance can reduce both cooling and heating requirements. 128

#### Impact of the User

In order to estimate the occupants' behavior influence on the energy demand, an 130 "efficient user" was defined. It consists of the same occupancy schedule but varies 131 the way it operates the solar protections and the openings. 132

The annual evolution of cooling and heating demand for the efficient user are 133 presented in Fig. 92.7. An important cooling demand reduction is obtained for all 134 orientations. For orientations between  $270^{\circ}$  and  $30^{\circ}$ , the cooling demand is less than 135 half for the efficient user; in particular, at 330° the cooling demand is less than a third 136 of the original user one. This important reduction is due to efficient use of solar 137 protections, which highly reduces the net heat gain through glazed areas. Moreover, 138 the efficient user takes more advantage of natural ventilation, which also contributes 139 to the cooling demand reduction. 140

The heating demand is less affected since for winter the original user also takes 141 advantage of windows gains and does not ventilate much. 142

According to local energy costs, the savings for the efficient user are equivalent to 143 94.3 US\$ per year. Considering a training program for the occupants of the houses 144 with a cost of 240 US\$, the repayment period is less than 3 years. 145

129

123



Fig. 92.7 Efficient user HVAC requirements

#### 146 Solar Protections

147 When using blinds instead of curtains as solar protections for the kitchen and the 148 living room, an important reduction of energy demand was estimated. For cooling 149 demand, the reduction is thanks to the lower heat gains through the windows. Then, 150 the most notorious reduction is for the orientation 90°, for which the front facade is 151 oriented to the east. For the heating demand, the reduction is due to the lower 152 transmittance of the windows when the blinds are down.

With this reduction in the energy demand, again considering the local costs of electricity, the inclusion of blinds can produce savings of 18.5 US\$ per year. The cost of including this in the house design is estimated at 300 US\$, meaning a repayment period of more than 20 years.

#### 157 Roof with Less Transmittance

158 Considering a roof with less transmittance than the original  $(0.33 \text{ W/m}^2\text{K} \text{ against})$ 159 0.70 W/m<sup>2</sup>K), a saving of around 60% is obtained for heating demand for an entire 160 year for all orientations and savings of 30% for cooling demand. That means a 161 saving of 25.8 US\$ per year.

The proposed roof has a lower cost than the original. Therefore, the inversion for this measure is considered negative, estimated at -90US.

## 92.4 Conclusion

The thermal behavior of a social interest household in Uruguay (MEVIR) was 165 studied by means of numerical simulations using EnergyPlus. To define and cali- 166 brate the model, experimental measures were used. Moreover, interviews with 167 families living in this type of house were performed to determine the schedules 168 and behavior of the occupants in order to define this in the model. The results of the 169 simulations for the base case show that the MEVIR archetype has a good thermal 170 design because of the low thermal transmittance of its opaque envelope. Principally 171 for winter, a low heating demand is estimated. 172

Thereafter, three energy efficiency measures were analyzed. All of them show a 173 clear reduction in energy demand. The reduction of the roof transmittance is the most 174 attractive because it has no cost and reduces energy demand. Actually, the proposed 175 roof is cheaper than the original. Moreover, a training program for occupants to take 176 advantage of solar protections and natural ventilation could have an important effect 177 on reducing energy demand with a moderate cost. Finally, including blinds in the 178 living room and kitchen windows also reduces energy requirements but with a high 179 repayment period. 180

AcknowledgmentsThis research was supported by "Administración Nacional de Usinas y181Transmisiones (UTE)—Uruguay." The authors also thank the "Dirección Nacional de Energía182(DNE)" for assistance with the Blower door measurements and MEVIR for facilitating information183regarding the house archetype.184

### References

Alonso-Suarez R, Bidegain M, Abal G, and Modernell P. 2016. Año Meteorológico Típico para	186
Aplicaciones de Energía Solar (AMTUes): series horarias típicas para 5 sitios del Uruguay.	187
ANSI/ASHRAE Standard 55-2017. Technical report. 2017. url: www.ashrae.org/technology	188
ASHRAE Guideline 14-2014. Measurement of Energy, Demand, and Water Savings	189
Crawley D., Lawrie L., Pedersen C. and Winkelmann F., 2000. EnergyPlus: Energy Simulation	190
Program ASHRAF Journal 42, pages 49–56	191

#### 185 AU2

# **Author Queries**

Chapter No.: 92 529659\_1\_En

Query Refs. **Details Required** Author's response AU1 References Dury et al. (2000) is cited in text but not provided in the reference list. Please provide details in the list or delete the citation from the text. AU2 References ANSI/ASHRAE Standard 55-2017 (2017), ASHRAE (2014), Crawley et al. (2000) were not cited anywhere in the text. Please provide in text citation or delete the reference from the reference list.