

ON THE K-THEORY OF \mathbb{Z} -CATEGORIES.

EUGENIA ELLIS AND RAFAEL PARRA

ABSTRACT. We relate the notions of Noetherian, regular coherent and regular n -coherent category for \mathbb{Z} -linear categories with finite objects with the corresponding notions for unital rings. We use this relation to obtain a vanishing negative \mathbb{K} -theory of \mathbb{Z} -linear categories.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathcal{C} be a small \mathbb{Z} -linear category. Associated to \mathcal{C} there exists a ring

$$\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C}) = \bigoplus_{a,b \in \text{ob}\mathcal{C}} \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(a, b).$$

With the natural sum and multiplication, $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})$ is a ring with with local units, which is unital if and only if $\text{ob}\mathcal{C}$ is finite. There exists a weak equivalence between the spectrum of the algebraic K-theory of \mathcal{C} and the spectrum of the algebraic K-theory of $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})$, see [7, Sec. 4.2]. Thus the K-theory groups of \mathcal{C} and $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})$ coincides.

We consider the category $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \text{Ab})$ of contravariant functors from \mathcal{C} to Ab . Using Yoneda Lemma we embed \mathcal{C} into $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \text{Ab})$ with the purpose to do homological constructions in $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \text{Ab})$ which a priori make no sense in \mathcal{C} . Yoneda Lemma is used to define Noetherian additive categories or regular coherent additive categories, see [3]. Following this idea we extend in Section 3 the notion of regular n -coherence from rings with unit to small \mathbb{Z} -linear categories.

As is shown in [5, Corollary 2.14] an object $F : \mathcal{C}^{op} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$ is of type \mathcal{FP}_n if and only if there exists an exact sequence

$$P_n \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow F \rightarrow 0$$

where P_i is finitely generated and projective for every $0 \leq i \leq n$. We say that \mathcal{C} is right n -coherent if the category $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \text{Ab})$ is n -coherent in the sense of [5, Definition 4.6]. In other words \mathcal{C} is right n -coherent if and only if the objects of type \mathcal{FP}_n in $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \text{Ab})$ coincide with the objects of type \mathcal{FP}_{∞} . If \mathcal{C} is right n -coherent, we say that \mathcal{C} is *regular* if every object $F : \mathcal{C}^{op} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$ of type \mathcal{FP}_n has a finite projective dimension. We prove in Proposition 2.7 that this homological property of \mathcal{C} also holds for \mathcal{C}_{\oplus} . We show in Proposition 2.9 that an additive category \mathcal{C} is right regular n -coherent if and only if the following conditions hold in \mathcal{C} :

- i) Every morphism in \mathcal{C} with a pseudo $(n - 1)$ -kernel has a pseudo n -kernel.
- ii) For every morphism $f : x \rightarrow y$ in \mathcal{C} with pseudo ∞ -kernel there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\alpha : x_{k-1} \rightarrow x_{k-1}$ making the following diagram commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc}
x_k & \xrightarrow{f_k} & x_{k-1} & \xrightarrow{f_{k-1}} & x_{k-2} & \xrightarrow{f_{k-2}} & \cdots & \cdots & \xrightarrow{f_2} & x_1 & \xrightarrow{f_1} & x & \xrightarrow{f} & y \\
& & \searrow 0 & \downarrow \alpha & \nearrow f_{k-1} & & & & & & & & & \\
& & & x_{k-1} & & & & & & & & & &
\end{array}$$

We see in Proposition 3.5 that the category $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \text{Ab})$ is equivalent to $\text{Mod-}\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})$, where $\text{Mod-}\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})$ denotes the category of unital right modules. Let \mathcal{C} be a Noetherian or an n -coherent category. Is the ring $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})$ also Noetherian or n -coherent? We answer this question in the case that $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})$ has unit or equivalently when \mathcal{C} has finite objects. We prove in Proposition 3.9 that \mathcal{C} is a Noetherian (n -coherent or regular n -coherent) \mathbb{Z} -linear category with finite objects if and only if $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})$ is a Noetherian (strong n -coherent or n -regular and strong n -coherent) ring with unit.

A new way to obtain information about the K-theory of a \mathbb{Z} -linear category is obtained. In Section 4 we prove that if $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{C}$, $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{C}_\oplus$ or $\mathcal{D} = \text{colim}_{f \in F} \mathcal{C}_f$ with \mathcal{C} or \mathcal{C}_f a regular \mathbb{Z} -linear category with finite object then $K_i(\mathcal{D}) = 0$, $\forall i < 0$. We also prove that if $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{C}$, $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{C}_\oplus$ or $\mathcal{D} = \text{colim}_{f \in F} \mathcal{C}_f$ with \mathcal{C} or \mathcal{C}_f a regular coherent \mathbb{Z} -linear category with finite object then $K_{-1}(\mathcal{D}) = 0$. In Proposition 4.6 we obtain a generalization of [10, Thm 3.2].

2. MODULES OVER \mathbb{Z} -LINEAR CATEGORIES

A \mathbb{Z} -linear category is a category \mathcal{C} such that for every two objects $a, b \in \mathcal{C}$, the set of morphisms $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(a, b)$ is an abelian group, and for any other object $c \in \mathcal{C}$, the composition

$$\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(b, c) \times \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(a, b) \rightarrow \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(a, c)$$

is a bilinear map. Throughout this paper we assume that \mathbb{Z} -linear categories \mathcal{C} are small, i.e. the collection of objects is a set. A \mathbb{Z} -linear category is *additive* if it has an initial object and finite products. We consider the free additive category \mathcal{C}_\oplus as follow. The objects of \mathcal{C}_\oplus are finite tuples of objects in \mathcal{C} . A morphism from $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_k)$ to $\mathbf{c} = (c_1, \dots, c_m)$ for $a_i, c_j \in \mathcal{C}$ is given by $m \times k$ matrix of morphisms in \mathcal{C} (the composition is given by the usual row-by-column multiplication of matrices),

$$\begin{aligned}
- \text{ob}\mathcal{C}_\oplus &= \{(c_1, \dots, c_k) : c_i \in \mathcal{C}, k \in \mathbb{N}\} \\
- \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}_\oplus}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c}) &= \prod_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=1}^m \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(a_i, c_j).
\end{aligned}$$

There is an obvious embedding $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_\oplus$ which maps objects and morphisms to their associated 1-tuple. If \mathcal{C} is a \mathbb{Z} -linear category then \mathcal{C}_\oplus is a small additive category.

The *idempotent completion* $\text{Idem}(\mathcal{C}_\oplus)$ of \mathcal{C}_\oplus is defined to be the following small additive category.

$$\begin{aligned}
- \text{ob}(\text{Idem}(\mathcal{C}_\oplus)) &= \{(\mathbf{c}, p) : \mathbf{c} \in \text{ob}\mathcal{C}_\oplus, p : \mathbf{c} \rightarrow \mathbf{c} \text{ such that } p^2 = p\} \\
- \text{hom}_{\text{Idem}(\mathcal{C}_\oplus)}((\mathbf{c}_1, p_1), (\mathbf{c}_2, p_2)) &= \{w : \mathbf{c}_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{c}_2 \text{ such that } w = p_2 w p_1\}.
\end{aligned}$$

By construction $\mathcal{C} \simeq \mathcal{C}_\oplus$ if \mathcal{C} is additive and $\mathcal{C}_\oplus \simeq \text{Idem}(\mathcal{C}_\oplus)$ if idempotents split in \mathcal{C}_\oplus . Recall the additive category \mathcal{C}_\oplus is equivalent to $\text{Idem}(\mathcal{C}_\oplus)$ if and only if every idempotent has a kernel.

Example 2.1. Given a ring R , consider $\mathcal{C} = \underline{R}$ the category which has one object \star and $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\star, \star) = R$. The multiplication on R gives the composition on \underline{R} . The

category \mathcal{C}_\oplus is the category whose objects are natural numbers $m > 0$ and the morphisms are the matrices with coefficients in R , $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}_\oplus}(m, n) = M_{n \times m}(R)$.

Example 2.2. Let R be an associative ring with unit. If \mathcal{C} is the category of finitely generated free R -modules, then $\text{Idem}(\mathcal{C})$ is equivalent to the category of finitely generated projective R -modules.

2.1. Pseudo n -kernels and pseudo n -cokernels. Given a \mathbb{Z} -linear category \mathcal{C} we recall that a *pseudo kernel* of a morphism $f : x \rightarrow y$ in \mathcal{C} is a morphism $g : k \rightarrow x$ with $f \circ g = 0$, such that for any morphism $h : c \rightarrow x$ with $f \circ h = 0$, there exists $t : c \rightarrow k$ with $g \circ t = h$. Equivalently, a morphism $g : k \rightarrow x$ in \mathcal{C} is said to be a pseudo kernel of f if, for any $c \in \text{ob}\mathcal{C}$, the following sequence of abelian groups is exact

$$\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(c, k) \rightarrow \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(c, x) \rightarrow \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(c, y).$$

Pseudo-kernels have been introduced by Freyd [11] as weak kernels. *Pseudo-cokernels* are pseudo kernels in \mathcal{C}^{op} . By [15, Corollary 1.1] the categories \mathcal{C} , \mathcal{C}_\oplus and $\text{Idem}(\mathcal{C}_\oplus)$ all have pseudo kernels or they don't. Let us remark that any triangulated or abelian category has pseudo-kernels and pseudo-cokernels.

Let $n \geq 1$ and $f : x \rightarrow y$ be a morphism in \mathcal{C} . Following [6], we say that f has a *pseudo n -kernel* if there exists a chain of morphisms

$$x_n \xrightarrow{f_n} x_{n-1} \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} x_{n-2} \rightarrow \cdots \xrightarrow{f_2} x_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} x \xrightarrow{f} y$$

such that the following sequence of abelian groups is exact

$$\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, x_n) \xrightarrow{f_{n*}} \cdots \rightarrow \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, x_1) \xrightarrow{f_{1*}} \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, x) \xrightarrow{f_*} \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, y).$$

We denote the pseudo n -kernel by $(f_n, f_{n-1}, \dots, f_1)$. The case $n = 1$ gives us the classic pseudo-kernels. For convenience, we let $x_0 := x$. Furthermore, any morphism f in \mathcal{C} will be assumed to be a pseudo 0-kernel of itself. We say that f has a *pseudo ∞ -kernel* if there exists a chain of morphisms

$$\cdots \rightarrow x_{n+1} \xrightarrow{f_{n+1}} x_n \xrightarrow{f_n} x_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \xrightarrow{f_2} x_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} x \xrightarrow{f} y$$

such that the following sequence of abelian groups is exact

$$\cdots \rightarrow \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, x_{n+1}) \xrightarrow{f_{n+1*}} \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, x_n) \xrightarrow{f_{n*}} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_{1*}} \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, x) \xrightarrow{f_*} \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, y).$$

Pseudo n -cokernels are defined as pseudo n -kernels in \mathcal{C}^{op} .

2.2. Categories of Additive Functors. The category of abelian groups will be denoted by Ab . For any \mathbb{Z} -linear category \mathcal{C} , we define a *left \mathcal{C} -module* as a functor $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$. We consider natural transformations as morphisms of \mathcal{C} -modules. Define a *right \mathcal{C} -module* as a functor $F : \mathcal{C}^{op} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$. In these categories limits and colimits of functors are defined objectwise. Denote by $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \text{Ab})$ the category of right \mathcal{C} -modules. This category is cocomplete and abelian. If c is an object of \mathcal{C} then there is the corresponding representable functor $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, c) : \mathcal{C}^{op} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$.

Lemma 2.3. (*Yoneda Lemma*) *Let \mathcal{C} be any \mathbb{Z} -linear category. Take $c \in \mathcal{C}$ and $F : \mathcal{C}^{op} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$. Then there is a natural identification*

$$\text{hom}_{\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \text{Ab})}(\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, c), F(-)) \cong F(c).$$

By Yoneda Lemma, the family $\{\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, c)\}_{c \in \mathcal{C}}$ is a generating set of finitely generated projective in $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \text{Ab})$. A module $M \in \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \text{Ab})$ is *free* if it is isomorphic to $\bigoplus_{i \in I} \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, a_i)$. It is free and finitely generated if I is finite.

Let R be a ring and \underline{R} be the \mathbb{Z} -linear category defined in Example 2.1. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} R\text{-Mod} &\cong \text{Fun}(\underline{R}, \text{Ab}) \\ \text{Mod-}R &\cong \text{Fun}(\underline{R}^{op}, \text{Ab}). \end{aligned}$$

2.3. Finitely n -presented objects and n -coherent categories. Let $n \geq 1$ be a positive integer. Following [5, Definition 2.1] we say that an object $F : \mathcal{C}^{op} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$ is *finitely n -presented* if the functors $\text{Ext}_{\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \text{Ab})}^i(F, -)$ preserves direct limits for all $0 \leq i \leq n-1$. Denote by \mathcal{FP}_0 to the set of finitely generated objects, then M is an object of type \mathcal{FP}_0 if there exists a collection of objects $\{c_j : j \in J\}$ in \mathcal{C} for some finite set J and an epimorphism $\bigoplus_{j \in J} \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, c_j) \rightarrow M$. A functor $F : \mathcal{C}^{op} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$ is of type \mathcal{FP}_∞ if it is of type \mathcal{FP}_n for all $n \geq 0$.

Recall that a *Grothendieck category* is a cocomplete abelian category, with a generating set and with exact direct limits. A Grothendieck category is *locally finitely generated (presented)* if it has a set of finitely generated (presented) generators. In other words, each object is a direct union (limit) of finitely generated (presented) objects. A Grothendieck category is *locally type \mathcal{FP}_n* [5, Definition 2.3], if it has a generating set consisting of objects of type \mathcal{FP}_n .

By [13, Example 3.2] any finitely generated projective object is of type \mathcal{FP}_n for all $n \geq 0$. Then the functor category $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \text{Ab})$ is a locally type \mathcal{FP}_∞ Grothendieck category. By the [5, Corollary 2.14] an object $F : \mathcal{C}^{op} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$ is of type \mathcal{FP}_n if and only if there exists an exact sequence

$$P_n \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow F \rightarrow 0$$

where P_i is finitely generated and projective for every $0 \leq i \leq n$.

Recall from [5, Definition 4.1] an object $F : \mathcal{C}^{op} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$ is *n -coherent* if satisfies the following conditions:

- (1) F is of type \mathcal{FP}_n .
- (2) For each subobject $S \subseteq F$ such that S is type \mathcal{FP}_{n-1} then S is also of type \mathcal{FP}_n .

Definition 2.4. Let \mathcal{C} be a \mathbb{Z} -linear category and $n \geq 0$. We say that \mathcal{C} is right (left) *n -coherent* if every object $F : \mathcal{C}^{op} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$ ($F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$) of type \mathcal{FP}_n is n -coherent.

We say that \mathcal{C} is right n -coherent if the category $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \text{Ab})$ is n -coherent in the sense of [5, Definition 4.6]. Thus by [5, Theorem 4.7], \mathcal{C} is right n -coherent if and only if the objects of type \mathcal{FP}_n in $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \text{Ab})$ coincide with the objects of type \mathcal{FP}_∞ . In particular, an additive category \mathcal{C} is Noetherian in the sense of [3, Definition 5.2] if and only if it is 0-coherent. Note that for $1 \leq n \leq \infty$, if \mathcal{C} is any small additive category, by [6, Proposition 5.4], the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1) \mathcal{C} is right n -coherent.
- 2) If a morphism in \mathcal{C} has a pseudo $(n-1)$ -kernel, then it has a pseudo n -kernel.

Definition 2.5. Let $n \geq 0$ and \mathcal{C} be a right n -coherent \mathbb{Z} -linear category. We say that \mathcal{C} is *regular* if every object $F : \mathcal{C}^{op} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$ of type \mathcal{FP}_n has a finite projective dimension.

Let \mathcal{C} be a small additive category then \mathcal{C} is regular coherent in the sense of [3, Definition 5.2] if and only if it is right regular 1-coherent.

Example 2.6. Let \mathcal{C} be a small additive category and $n \geq 1$.

- I. **Additive category with kernels.** By a result due to Auslander [2, Theorem 2.2.b] a small additive category \mathcal{C} with kernels is 1-coherent and every object of type \mathcal{FP}_1 in $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \text{Ab})$ has projective dimension at most 2. Then \mathcal{C} is right regular 1-coherent.
- II. **Von Neumann regular categories.** We recall that \mathcal{C} is called von Neumann regular if for any morphism $f : a \rightarrow b$ in \mathcal{C} there exists a morphism $g : b \rightarrow a$ such that $fgf = f$. By [4, Corollary 8.1.3] \mathcal{C} is right regular 1-coherent.
- III. **Locally finitely presented categories.** An object $c \in \mathcal{C}$ is finitely presented if the functor $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(c, -)$ preserves direct limits. The category \mathcal{C} is locally finitely presented if every directed system of objects and morphisms has a direct limit, the class of finitely presented objects of \mathcal{C} is skeletally small and every object of \mathcal{C} is the direct limit of finitely presented objects. Then by [12, Lemma 2.2], every locally finitely presented category is left 1-coherent.
- IV. **n-hereditary categories.** Suppose the following two conditions hold in \mathcal{C} :
 - (a) Every morphism in \mathcal{C} with a pseudo $(n - 1)$ -kernel has a pseudo n -kernel.
 - (b) For every morphism $f : x \rightarrow y$ in \mathcal{C} with pseudo n -kernel (f_n, \dots, f_1) , there exists an endomorphism $\alpha : x_{n-1} \rightarrow x_{n-1}$ making the following diagram commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 x_k & \xrightarrow{f_k} & x_{k-1} & \xrightarrow{f_{k-1}} & x_{k-2} & \xrightarrow{f_{k-2}} & \cdots & \cdots & \xrightarrow{f_2} & x_1 & \xrightarrow{f_1} & x & \xrightarrow{f} & y \\
 & \searrow 0 & \downarrow \alpha & \nearrow f_{k-1} & & & & & & & & & & \\
 & & x_{k-1} & & & & & & & & & & &
 \end{array}$$

By [6, Theorem 5.5], \mathcal{C} is right n -coherent and every object of type \mathcal{FP}_1 in $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \text{Ab})$ has projective dimension less than or equal 1. Therefore, \mathcal{C} is right regular n -coherent.

Due to [15, Lemma 1.1, 1.2] we have the following equivalences of categories

$$\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \text{Ab}) \simeq \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}_{\oplus}^{op}, \text{Ab}) \simeq \text{Fun}(\text{Idem}(\mathcal{C}_{\oplus}^{op}), \text{Ab})$$

It implies that \mathcal{C} , \mathcal{C}_{\oplus} and $\text{Idem}(\mathcal{C}_{\oplus})$ are Morita equivalents. In particular, we obtain the following result.

Proposition 2.7. *Let \mathcal{C} be a \mathbb{Z} -linear category. The following are equivalent:*

- (1) \mathcal{C} is right regular n -coherent.
- (2) \mathcal{C}_{\oplus} is right regular n -coherent.
- (3) $\text{Idem}(\mathcal{C}_{\oplus})$ is right regular n -coherent.

Let R be a ring with unit. A finitely n -presented right R -module M is n -coherent if every finitely $(n-1)$ -presented submodule $N \subseteq M$ is finitely n -presented. The ring R is right n -coherent if R is n -coherent as a right R -module (i.e. if each $(n-1)$ -presented ideal of R is n -presented). We say that R is right strong n -coherent if each finitely n -presented right R -module is $(n+1)$ -presented. A strong n -coherence ring is equivalent to a n -coherence ring for $n=1$, but it is an open question for $n \geq 2$. A coherent ring is a 1-coherent ring (strong 1-coherent ring) and it is regular if and only if every finitely presented module has finite projective dimension. Motivated by this we introduce in [10, Definition 2.9] the definition of n -regular ring. Let $n \geq 1$, a ring R is called right n -regular if each finitely n -presented right R -module has finite projective dimension.

Corollary 2.8. *Let R be a ring with unit and $n \geq 1$. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (1) *The ring R is right strong n -coherent or right n -regular and strong n -coherent respectively;*
- (2) *The additive category \underline{R}_\oplus is right n -coherent or right regular n -coherent respectively;*
- (3) *The additive category $\text{Idem}(\underline{R}_\oplus)$ is right n -coherent or right regular n -coherent respectively.*

Let \mathcal{C} be a small additive category. By [3, Lemma 5.8], \mathcal{C} is right Noetherian if and only if each object c has the following property. Consider any directed set I and collections of morphisms $\{f_i : a_i \rightarrow c\}_{i \in I}$ with c as target such that $f_i \subseteq f_j$ holds for $i \leq j$, then there exists $i_0 \in I$ with $f_i \subseteq f_{i_0}$ for all $i \in I$. Our aim is to find out intrinsic condition of \mathcal{C} which guarantees that $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \text{Ab})$ is regular n -coherent.

Proposition 2.9. *Let \mathcal{C} be a small additive category and $n \geq 1$. The following are equivalent*

- (1) *\mathcal{C} is right regular n -coherent.*
- (2) *The following conditions hold in \mathcal{C} :*
 - i) *Every morphism in \mathcal{C} with a pseudo $(n-1)$ -kernel has a pseudo n -kernel.*
 - ii) *For every morphism $f : x \rightarrow y$ in \mathcal{C} with pseudo ∞ -kernel there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\alpha : x_{k-1} \rightarrow x_{k-1}$ making the following diagram commute:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 x_k & \xrightarrow{f_k} & x_{k-1} & \xrightarrow{f_{k-1}} & x_{k-2} & \xrightarrow{f_{k-2}} & \cdots & \cdots & \xrightarrow{f_2} & x_1 & \xrightarrow{f_1} & x & \xrightarrow{f} & y \\
 & \searrow 0 & \downarrow \alpha & \nearrow f_{k-1} & & & & & & & & & & \\
 & & x_{k-1} & & & & & & & & & & &
 \end{array}$$

Proof. (1 \Rightarrow 2) Suppose that \mathcal{C} is right regular n -coherent. First, we note that (i) is clear by [6, Prop 5.4]. Now suppose that $f : x \rightarrow y$ is a morphism in \mathcal{C} with a pseudo ∞ -kernel (\cdots, f_3, f_2, f_1) . Here, we let $f_0 := f$. Thus $\text{coker}(f_*)$ is of type $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{P}_\infty$ in $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \text{Ab})$ because there exists an exact sequence of the form

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{f_{2*}} \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, x_1) \xrightarrow{f_{1*}} \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, x) \xrightarrow{f_*} \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, y) \rightarrow \text{coker}(f_*) \rightarrow 0.$$

There exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\text{coker}(f_*)$ has projective dimension $\leq k$. It implies that $\ker(f_{k-2*}) = \text{im}(f_{k-1*})$ is projective, and therefore $\ker(f_{k-1*}) = \text{im}(f_{k*})$ is

projective too. Consider

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \cdots & \rightarrow & \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, x_k) & \xrightarrow{f_{k*}} & \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, x_{k-1}) & \rightarrow & \cdots \\ & & & \searrow \sigma & \uparrow \iota & & \\ & & & & \text{im}(f_{k*}) & & \end{array}$$

where $\iota : \text{im}(f_{k*}) \hookrightarrow \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, x_{k-1})$ and $\sigma : \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, x_k) \twoheadrightarrow \text{im}(f_{k*})$ are the canonical morphisms. There exists $\iota' : \text{im}(f_{k*}) \rightarrow \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, x_k)$ and $\sigma' : \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, x_{k-1}) \rightarrow \text{im}(f_{k*})$ such that $\sigma \circ \iota' = \text{id}_{\text{im}(f_{k*})}$ and $\sigma' \circ \iota = \text{id}_{\text{im}(f_{k*})}$. By Yoneda Lemma and using the same techniques [6, Theorem 5.5] there exists $h : x_{k-1} \rightarrow x_k$ in \mathcal{C} such that $h_* : \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, x_{k-1}) \rightarrow \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, x_k)$ satisfy $h_* \circ f_{k*} = \iota' \circ \sigma$. The morphism $\alpha := \text{id}_{x_{k-1}} - f_k \circ h$ satisfies the desired condition.

(2 \Rightarrow 1) Suppose that the affirmation (2) is satisfied for $n \geq 1$. Using the condition (2-*i*), we deduce that \mathcal{C} is right n -coherent [6, Prop 5.4] and thus $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{P}_n = \mathcal{F}\mathcal{P}_\infty$. Now, for each $F : \mathcal{C}^{op} \rightarrow Ab$ of type $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{P}_n$ we get an exact sequence of the form

$$\cdots \rightarrow \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, x_n) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, x_1) \xrightarrow{f_{1*}} \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, x) \xrightarrow{f_*} \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, y) \rightarrow F \rightarrow 0$$

where $f : x \rightarrow y$ is a morphism in \mathcal{C} . It implies that f has a pseudo ∞ -kernel, and therefore, there is $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and an endomorphism $\alpha : x_{k-1} \rightarrow x_{k-1}$ making the following diagram commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} x_k & \xrightarrow{f_k} & x_{k-1} & \xrightarrow{f_{k-1}} & x_{k-2} \\ & \searrow 0 & \downarrow \alpha & \nearrow f_{k-1} & \\ & & x_{k-1} & & \end{array}$$

Next, we show that $\text{im}(f_{k-1*}) = \ker(f_{k-2*})$ is a projective functor. Consider

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, x_k) & \xrightarrow{f_{k*}} & \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, x_{k-1}) & \xrightarrow{f_{k-1*}} & \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, x_{k-2}) \\ & \searrow 0 & \downarrow \alpha_* & \nearrow \sigma & \uparrow \iota \\ & & \text{im}(f_{k-1*}) & & \parallel \\ & & \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, x_{k-1}) & \xrightarrow{f_{k-1*}} & \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, x_{k-2}) \end{array}$$

where $\sigma : \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, x_{k-1}) \rightarrow \text{im}(f_{k-1*})$ and $\iota : \text{im}(f_{k-1*}) \rightarrow \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, x_{k-2})$ are the canonical natural transformations. Note that $\text{im}(f_{k-1*}) = \text{coker}(f_{k*})$, then there exists unique natural transformation $t : \text{im}(f_{k-1*}) \rightarrow \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, x_{k-1})$ such that $t \circ \sigma = \alpha_*$. Moreover, applying the same techniques [6, Theorem 5.5] we have

$\iota \circ \text{id}_{\text{im}(f_{k-1*})} \circ \sigma = \iota \circ \sigma = f_{k-1*} = (f_{k-1} \circ \alpha)_* = f_{k-1*} \circ \alpha_* = f_{k-1*} \circ t \circ \sigma = \iota \circ \sigma \circ t \circ \sigma$ which implies that

$$\text{id}_{\text{im}(f_{k-1*})} = \sigma \circ t.$$

Then σ is a split epimorphism, and therefore, $\text{im}(f_{k-1*})$ is projective. \square

Following [3] the category \mathcal{C} is right *regular* if it is right Noetherian and right regular coherent. This should not be confused with von Neumann regular.

3. THE RING $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})$ AND THE \mathbb{Z} -LINEAR CATEGORY \mathcal{C}

In this section we study the relation between some properties of a \mathbb{Z} -linear category \mathcal{C} with the properties of a ring $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})$ associated to it. We prove the categories $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \text{Ab})$ and $\text{Mod-}\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})$ are equivalent.

3.1. **The ring $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})$.** Let \mathcal{C} be a \mathbb{Z} -linear category. Recall from [7]

$$(3.1) \quad \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C}) = \bigoplus_{a,b \in \text{ob}\mathcal{C}} \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(a, b).$$

If $f \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})$ write $f_{a,b}$ for the component in $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(b, a)$. The following multiplication law

$$(3.2) \quad (fg)_{a,b} = \sum_{c \in \text{ob}\mathcal{C}} f_{a,c} g_{c,b}$$

makes $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})$ into an associative ring, which is unital if and only if $\text{ob}\mathcal{C}$ is finite. Whatever the cardinal of $\text{ob}\mathcal{C}$ is, $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})$ is always a ring with *local units*, i.e. a filtering colimit of unital rings.

3.2. **The $\mathbb{Z}\mathcal{C}$ -modules.** Recall that M is a unital right $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})$ -module if $M \cdot \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C}) = M$. Consider $\text{Mod-}\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})$ the category of unital right $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})$ -modules. Let us define functors

$$\mathcal{S}(-) : \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \text{Ab}) \rightarrow \text{Mod-}\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C}) \quad (-)_{\mathcal{C}} : \text{Mod-}\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \text{Ab})$$

Let $M \in \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \text{Ab})$

$$\mathcal{S}(M) = \bigoplus_{a \in \text{ob}\mathcal{C}} M(a)$$

Let $N \in \text{Mod-}\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})$

$$N_{\mathcal{C}} : \mathcal{C}^{op} \rightarrow \text{Ab} \quad a \mapsto N \cdot \text{id}_a.$$

Lemma 3.3. *Let \mathcal{C} be a \mathbb{Z} -linear category then*

$$\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C}_{\oplus}) \cong \bigoplus_{n=1, m=1}^{\infty} M_{n \times m}(\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})).$$

Proof. It is straightforward from the definition. \square

Lemma 3.4. *If N is a unital right $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})$ -module then*

$$\bigoplus_{a \in \text{ob}\mathcal{C}} N \cdot \text{id}_a = N.$$

Proof. For every $a \in \text{ob}\mathcal{C}$ we have $N \cdot \text{id}_a \subseteq N$ then $\bigoplus_{a \in \text{ob}\mathcal{C}} N \cdot \text{id}_a \subseteq N$. Let $n \in N$, because N is unital $N = N \cdot \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})$ then $n = \sum_{i=1}^{i=m} n_i \cdot f_i$ with $n_i \in N$ and $f_i \in \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(a_i, b_i)$. Let $I = \{a \in \text{ob}\mathcal{C} : a = a_i, \text{ for some } i = 1, \dots, m\}$ then

$$n = \sum_{i=1}^{i=m} n_i \cdot f_i = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{i=m} n_i \cdot f_i \right) \cdot \left(\sum_{a \in I} \text{id}_a \right) = n \cdot \sum_{a \in I} \text{id}_a$$

We conclude $N \subseteq \bigoplus_{a \in \text{ob}\mathcal{C}} N \cdot \text{id}_a$. \square

Proposition 3.5. *Let \mathcal{C} be a \mathbb{Z} -linear category then*

$$\mathcal{S}(-) : \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \text{Ab}) \rightarrow \text{Mod-}\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C}) \quad (-)_{\mathcal{C}} : \text{Mod-}\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \text{Ab})$$

are an equivalence of categories.

Proof. Let $N \in \text{Mod-}\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})$ and $M \in \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \text{Ab})$ then

$$S(N_S) = \bigoplus_{a \in \text{ob}\mathcal{C}} N_{\mathcal{C}}(a) = \bigoplus_{a \in \text{ob}\mathcal{C}} N \cdot \text{id}_a = N$$

$$(S(M))_{\mathcal{C}}(c) = S(M) \cdot \text{id}_c = \bigoplus_{a \in \text{ob}\mathcal{C}} M(a) \cdot \text{id}_c = M(c) \quad \forall c \in \text{ob}\mathcal{C}$$

□

The abelian structure of $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \text{Ab})$ comes from the abelian structure in Ab . A sequence $M \xrightarrow{f} N \xrightarrow{g} R$ is exact in $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \text{Ab})$ if for each object $c \in \mathcal{C}$ the sequence $M(c) \xrightarrow{f(c)} N(c) \xrightarrow{g(c)} R(c)$ is exact in Ab .

Proposition 3.6. *Let \mathcal{C} be a \mathbb{Z} -linear category then*

$$S(-) : \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \text{Ab}) \rightarrow \text{Mod-}\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C}) \quad (-)_{\mathcal{C}} : \text{Mod-}\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \text{Ab})$$

are exact functors.

Proof. Let $M \xrightarrow{f} N \xrightarrow{g} R$ be an exact sequence in $\text{Mod-}\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})$. Let us prove $M_{\mathcal{C}} \xrightarrow{f_{\mathcal{C}}} N_{\mathcal{C}} \xrightarrow{g_{\mathcal{C}}} R_{\mathcal{C}}$ is exact in $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \text{Ab})$ showing $M_{\mathcal{C}}(a) \xrightarrow{f_{\mathcal{C}}(a)} N_{\mathcal{C}}(a) \xrightarrow{g_{\mathcal{C}}(a)} R_{\mathcal{C}}(a)$ is exact for every object a in \mathcal{C} . By functoriality $\text{im}(f_{\mathcal{C}}(a)) \subseteq \ker(g_{\mathcal{C}}(a))$. Let $n \cdot \text{id}_a \in \ker(g_{\mathcal{C}}(a))$ then

$$g_{\mathcal{C}}(a)(n \cdot \text{id}_a) = g(n) \cdot \text{id}_a = g(n \cdot \text{id}_a) = 0$$

then $n \cdot \text{id}_a \in \ker(g) = \text{im}(f)$. There exists $m \in M$ such that $f(m) = n \cdot \text{id}_a$ then

$$f_{\mathcal{C}}(a)(m \cdot \text{id}_a) = f(m \cdot \text{id}_a) = f(m) \cdot \text{id}_a = (n \cdot \text{id}_a) \cdot \text{id}_a = n \cdot \text{id}_a$$

then $n \cdot \text{id}_a \in \text{im}(f_{\mathcal{C}}(a))$. We conclude $(-)_{\mathcal{C}}$ is exact.

We proceed to show that S is exact. Let $M \xrightarrow{f} N \xrightarrow{g} R$ be an exact sequence in $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \text{Ab})$. Consider

$$S(M) = \bigoplus_{a \in \text{ob}\mathcal{C}} M(a) \xrightarrow{S(f)} S(N) = \bigoplus_{a \in \text{ob}\mathcal{C}} N(a) \xrightarrow{S(g)} S(R) = \bigoplus_{a \in \text{ob}\mathcal{C}} R(a)$$

Similarly as above, let $\sum_{a \in \mathcal{C}} x_a \in \ker S(g)$ then

$$\begin{aligned} S(g)(\sum_{a \in \mathcal{C}} x_a) = \sum_{a \in \mathcal{C}} g(a)(x_a) = 0 &\Rightarrow g(a)(x_a) = 0 \quad \forall x_a \in N(a) \\ &\Rightarrow x_a \in \ker g(a) = \text{im } f(a) \quad \forall x_a \in N(a) \\ &\Rightarrow \exists y_a \in M(a) \text{ such that } f(a)(y_a) = x_a \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 3.7. *Let \mathcal{C} be a \mathbb{Z} -linear category.*

- (1) *If $p : M \rightarrow N$ is an epimorphism in $\text{Mod-}\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})$ then $p_{\mathcal{C}} : M_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow N_{\mathcal{C}}$ is an epimorphism in $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \text{Ab})$.*
- (2) *If $\pi : M \rightarrow N$ is an epimorphism in $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \text{Ab})$ then $S(\pi) : S(M) \rightarrow S(N)$ is an epimorphism in $\text{Mod-}\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})$.*
- (3) *$(M \oplus N)_{\mathcal{C}} = M_{\mathcal{C}} \oplus N_{\mathcal{C}}$ in $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \text{Ab})$.*
- (4) *$S(M \oplus N) = S(M) \oplus S(N)$ in $\text{Mod-}\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})$.*

Let A be a ring with local units. From [17] we recall that an A -module is *quasi-free* if it is isomorphic to a direct sum of modules of the form $e \cdot A$ with $e^2 = e$, $e \in A$. Quasi-free modules over a ring with local units plays the same role as free modules over a ring with unit. Also recall that M is a finitely generated module if and only if it is an image of a finitely generated quasi-free module. A finitely generated module M is projective if and only if it is a direct summand of a finitely generated quasi-free modules. In this paper we work with $A = \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})$ and we say that M is a quasi-free right $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})$ -module if it is isomorphic to a finite sum of modules $\text{id}_a \cdot \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})$.

Lemma 3.8. *Let \mathcal{C} be a \mathbb{Z} -linear category.*

- (1) *If F is a free finitely generated module in $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \text{Ab})$ then $S(F)$ is a quasi-free finitely generated $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})$ -module.*
- (2) *If P is a projective finitely generated module then $S(P)$ is projective and finitely generated.*
- (3) *If M is a quasi-free finitely generated $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})$ -module then $M_{\mathcal{C}}$ is a finitely generated free module.*
- (4) *If P is a projective finitely generated $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})$ -module then $P_{\mathcal{C}}$ is projective and finitely generated module.*

Proof. (1) Consider I a finite subset of object in \mathcal{C} such that $F = \bigoplus_{b \in I} \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, b)$, then

$$S(F) = \bigoplus_{b \in I} S(\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, b)) = \bigoplus_{b \in I} \text{id}_b \cdot \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})$$

then $S(F)$ is a quasi-free finitely generated $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})$ -module.

- (2) If P is a projective and finitely generated module there exist Q such that $P \oplus Q = F$ with F a free module. Then $S(P) \oplus S(Q) = S(F)$ with $S(F)$ quasi-free and finitely generated, we conclude $S(P)$ is projective.
- (3) If M is a quasi-free finitely generated $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})$ -module then $M = \bigoplus_{b \in I} \text{id}_b \cdot \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})$ with I a finite set. Note

$$M_{\mathcal{C}}(a) = M \cdot \text{id}_a = \left(\bigoplus_{b \in I} \text{id}_b \cdot \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C}) \right) \cdot \text{id}_a = \bigoplus_{b \in I} \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(a, b)$$

Then

$$M_{\mathcal{C}} = \bigoplus_{b \in I} \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, b)$$

is a free finitely generated module in $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \text{Ab})$.

- (4) If P is a projective finitely generated $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})$ -module there exist Q such that $P \oplus Q = F$ is a quasi-free finitely generated $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})$ -module, then $P_{\mathcal{C}} \oplus Q_{\mathcal{C}} = F_{\mathcal{C}}$ then $P_{\mathcal{C}}$ is projective and finitely generated module. □

Proposition 3.9. *Let \mathcal{C} be a \mathbb{Z} -linear category with finite objects and $n \geq 1$.*

- (1) *The category \mathcal{C} is right Noetherian if and only if $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})$ is right Noetherian.*
- (2) *The category \mathcal{C} is right n -coherent if and only if $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})$ is right strong n -coherent.*
- (3) *The category \mathcal{C} is regular n -coherent if and only if $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})$ is right n -regular and strong n -coherent.*

Proof. (1) Let M be a finitely generated right $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})$ -module and N be a submodule. We have an epimorphism

$$\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C}) \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow M$$

then by Corollary 3.7 the following is an epimorphism

$$\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})_{\mathcal{C}} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow M_{\mathcal{C}}$$

As $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})_{\mathcal{C}} = \bigoplus_{b \in \text{ob} \mathcal{C}} \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, b)$ we obtain that $M_{\mathcal{C}}$ is finitely generated.

$$\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})_{\mathcal{C}} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})_{\mathcal{C}} = \bigoplus_{j \in J} \bigoplus_{b_j \in \text{ob} \mathcal{C}} \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, b_j)$$

Because \mathcal{C} is Noetherian then $N_{\mathcal{C}}$ is finitely generated. We have an epimorphism

$$\bigoplus_{i \in I} \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, a_i) \rightarrow N_{\mathcal{C}}$$

then

$$\bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathcal{S}(\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, a_i)) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(N_{\mathcal{C}}) = N.$$

Consider the projection

$$p_i : \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, a_i)) = \bigoplus_{c \in \text{ob} \mathcal{C}} \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(c, a_i)$$

Taking $n = \#I$ we obtain an epimorphism

$$\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})^n \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathcal{S}(\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, a_i)) \rightarrow N,$$

then N is finitely generated.

Conversely if $M \in \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \text{Ab})$ is finitely generated let us show that every subobject is also finitely generated. Take N a submodule of M . There is an epimorphism

$$\bigoplus_{i \in I} \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, a_i) \rightarrow M$$

then we have an epimorphism

$$\bigoplus_{i \in I, c \in \text{ob} \mathcal{C}} \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(c, a_i) = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathcal{S}(\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, a_i)) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(M).$$

We obtain that $\mathcal{S}(N)$ is a submodule of $\mathcal{S}(M)$ which is finitely generated, then $\mathcal{S}(N)$ is also finitely generated and $\mathcal{S}(N)_{\mathcal{C}} = N$ is finitely generated.

(2) Let M be a finitely n -presented right $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})$ -module. Consider $m_0, m_1, \dots, m_n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})^{m_n} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})^{m_{n-1}} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})^{m_1} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})^{m_0} \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. By Proposition 3.6 the following is also an exact sequence

$$\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})_{\mathcal{C}}^{m_n} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})_{\mathcal{C}}^{m_{n-1}} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})_{\mathcal{C}}^{m_1} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})_{\mathcal{C}}^{m_0} \rightarrow M_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow 0$$

As $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})_{\mathcal{C}} = \bigoplus_{b \in \text{ob} \mathcal{C}} \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, b)$ we obtain that $M_{\mathcal{C}}$ is of type \mathcal{FP}_n . Because \mathcal{C} is n -coherent there exist an exact sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow P_{n+1} \rightarrow P_n \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow M_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow 0$$

with P_i projective and finitely generated. Then

$$\cdots \rightarrow S(P_{n+1}) \rightarrow S(P_n) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow S(P_1) \rightarrow S(P_0) \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

is exact and by Lemma 3.8 $S(P_i)$ is projective and finitely generated. Then $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})$ is a strong n -coherent ring.

Conversely, if $F \in \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \text{Ab})$ is of type \mathcal{FP}_n then $S(F)$ is an $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})$ -module of the type \mathcal{FP}_n . As $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})$ is a strong n -coherent ring there exists P_i projective finitely generated $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})$ -modules such that

$$\cdots \rightarrow P_n \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow S(F) \rightarrow 0$$

Then

$$\cdots \rightarrow (P_n)_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow (P_1)_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow (P_0)_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow F \rightarrow 0$$

where $(P_i)_{\mathcal{C}}$ are projective and finitely generated by Lemma 3.8(4).

- (3) Let M be a finitely n -presented right $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})$ -module. We can note from the previous item that $M_{\mathcal{C}}$ is of type \mathcal{FP}_n . Because \mathcal{C} is n -regular there exist an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow P_k \rightarrow P_{k-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow M_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow 0$$

with P_i projective and finitely generated. Then

$$0 \rightarrow S(P_k) \rightarrow S(P_{k-1}) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow S(P_1) \rightarrow S(P_0) \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

is exact and by Lemma 3.8 $S(P_i)$ is projective and finitely generated. Then $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})$ is a n -regular and strong n -coherent ring. The conversely is similar. \square

Example 3.10. Let consider some examples of \mathbb{Z} -linear categories with finite objects.

- (1) Let R be a ring and $G = \mathbb{Z}_n$. Consider $\tilde{R} = \frac{R[t]}{\langle t^n \rangle}$. The category $\mathcal{C}_{\tilde{R}}$ is the category with n objects and

$$\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}_{\tilde{R}}}(p, q) = \tilde{R}_{q-p} = R$$

Note $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C}_{\tilde{R}}) = M_{n \times n}(R)$. If R is a Noetherian ring, then $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C}_{\tilde{R}})$ is also Noetherian. By Proposition 3.9, then $\mathcal{C}_{\tilde{R}}$ is Noetherian.

- (2) We recall from [8] that a ring is said to be (n, d) -ring is every n -presented R -module has projective dimension at most d . Remark that if $n \leq n'$ and $d \leq d'$, then every (n, d) -ring is also a (n', d') -ring.

Let R, S be a finite direct sum of fields and \mathcal{C} be the \mathbb{Z} -linear category with two objects a and b such that $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(a, b) = \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(b, a) = 0$, $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(a, a) = R$ and $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(b, b) = S$. Notice $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C}) = R \oplus S$, by [8, Theorem 1.3 (i)] $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})$ is a $(0, 0)$ -ring and hence a Noetherian and regular coherent ring.

- (3) Let G be a finite commutative group. An associative ring R graded by G is

$$R = \bigoplus_{g \in G} R_g$$

such that the multiplication satisfies $R_g R_h \subseteq R_{g+h}$ for all $g, h \in G$. A (left) graded module over R is an R -module M together with a decomposition $M = \bigoplus_{g \in G} M_g$ such that $R_g M_h \subseteq M_{g+h}$. We denote by $R\text{-GrMod}$ the category of graded R -modules. The category \mathcal{C}_R is the \mathbb{Z} -linear category whose set of objects is $\{g : g \in G\}$ and whose morphism groups are given

by $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}_R}(g, h) = R_{h-g}$. By [9, Lemma 2.2] there is an equivalence between $R\text{-GrMod}$ and the additive functor category $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}_R, \text{Ab})$.

- (4) Let G be a group and \mathcal{F} be a finite family of subgroups of G , closed by conjugation and closed by taking subgroups. Let $\mathcal{C} = \text{Or}_{\mathcal{F}}(G)$ be the orbit category

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ob}\mathcal{C} &= \{G/H : H \in \mathcal{F}\} \\ \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(G/H, G/K) &= \{f : G/H \rightarrow G/K : f(gsH) = gf(sH)\} \end{aligned}$$

4. K-THEORY OF \mathbb{Z} -LINEAR CATEGORIES

Let \mathcal{B} be a small abelian category, in [16] M. Schlichting prove that $K_{-1}(\mathcal{B}) = 0$. When \mathcal{B} is in addition Noetherian, then $K_i(\mathcal{B}) = 0, \forall i < 0$. It was a question if the hypothesis of Noetherian was really necessary. In [16] the conjecture that if \mathcal{B} is a small abelian category then $K_i(\mathcal{B}) = 0 \forall i < 0$ was stated. In [14], A. Neeman shows a counterexample of this conjecture. In [1] the authors prove that if $\mathcal{B}[t_1, \dots, t_n]$ is abelian for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ then $K_i(\mathcal{B}) = 0 \forall i < 0$. A similar result [3, Theorem 11.1] claims that if \mathcal{A} is an additive category such that $\mathcal{A}[t_1, \dots, t_n]$ is coherent regular for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ then $K_i(\mathcal{A}) = 0 \forall i < 0$. Finally [3, Corollary 11.2] state that if \mathcal{A} is a regular (Noetherian and coherent regular) additive category then $K_i(\mathcal{A}) = 0 \forall i < 0$.

In this section we have a result of vanishing negative K-theory of \mathbb{Z} -linear categories. Recall from [7, Section 4] the definition of the K-theory spectrum of a \mathbb{Z} -linear category \mathcal{C} , the K-theory spectrum of the ring $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})$ and the map

$$(4.1) \quad \varphi : K(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow K(\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C}))$$

which is a natural equivalence in \mathcal{C} , see [7, Proposition 4.2.8].

Theorem 4.2. *Let \mathcal{C} be a \mathbb{Z} -linear category with finite objects.*

- (1) *If \mathcal{C} is right regular then $K_i(\mathcal{C}) = 0 \forall i < 0$.*
- (2) *If \mathcal{C} is right regular coherent then $K_{-1}(\mathcal{C}) = 0$.*

Proof. If \mathcal{C} is regular, then $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})$ is regular by Proposition 3.9. By fundamental theorem of K-theory $K_i(\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})) = 0 \forall i < 0$. We conclude

$$K_i(\mathcal{C}) \simeq K_i(\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})) = 0 \quad \forall i < 0.$$

If \mathcal{C} is regular coherent then $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})$ is a regular coherent ring. By [1, Theorem 3.30] we obtain $K_{-1}(\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})) = 0$ then

$$K_{-1}(\mathcal{C}) \simeq K_{-1}(\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})) = 0.$$

□

Corollary 4.3. *Let $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{C}_{\oplus}$ with \mathcal{C} be a \mathbb{Z} -linear category with finite objects.*

- (1) *If \mathcal{C} is right regular then $K_i(\mathcal{D}) = 0 \forall i < 0$.*
- (2) *If \mathcal{C} is right regular coherent then $K_{-1}(\mathcal{D}) = 0$.*

Definition 4.4. A \mathbb{Z} -linear category \mathcal{C} is right *AF-regular* if there is $\{\mathcal{C}_f\}_{f \in F}$ a direct system of right regular \mathbb{Z} -linear categories with finite objects such that

$$\mathcal{C} = \text{colim}_{f \in F} \mathcal{C}_f$$

Similarly we say that \mathcal{C} is right *AF-Noetherian* (*AF-regular coherent*) if

$$\mathcal{C} = \text{colim}_{f \in F} \mathcal{C}_f$$

with \mathcal{C}_i right Noetherian (regular coherent) \mathbb{Z} -linear categories with finite objects.

Theorem 4.5. *Let \mathcal{C} be a \mathbb{Z} -linear category.*

- (1) *If \mathcal{C} is right AF-regular then $K_i(\mathcal{C}) = 0 \forall i < 0$.*
- (2) *If \mathcal{C} is right AF-regular coherent then $K_{-1}(\mathcal{C}) = 0$.*

Proof. If $\mathcal{C} = \text{colim}_{f \in F} \mathcal{C}_f$ then $K_i(\mathcal{C}) = \text{colim}_{f \in F} K_i(\mathcal{C}_f)$. The rest of the proof follows from Theorem 4.2. \square

Using [10, Thm 3.2] and Proposition 3.9 we obtain the following result.

Proposition 4.6. *Let \mathcal{C} be a \mathbb{Z} -linear category with finite objects. Suppose that \mathcal{C} is right regular n -coherent. Then*

$$K_i(\mathcal{C}) \simeq K_i(\mathcal{FP}_n(\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C}))) \quad i \geq 0.$$

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The first author was partially supported by ANII. Both authors were partially supported by PEDECIBA, CSIC and by the grant ANII FCE-3-2018-1-148588. We would like to thank to Carlos E. Parra for interesting comments and discussions.

REFERENCES

- [1] B. Antieau, D. Gepner, J. Heller. K-theoretic obstructions to bounded t-structures. *Invent. Math.* 216 (2019), no. 1, 241–300.
- [2] M. Auslander. Coherent functors. *Proc. Conf. Categorical Algebra. La Jolla, Calif. 1965 Springer, (1966) New York, 189–231*.
- [3] A. Bartels, W. Lück. Vanishing of Nil-terms and negative K-theory for additive categories. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2002.03412>.
- [4] A. Beligiannis. On the Frey categories of an additive category. *Homology, Homotopy and Applications* 2 (2000), no. 11, 147–185.
- [5] D. Bravo, J. Gillespie, M. Pérez. Locally type \mathcal{FP}_n and n -coherent categories. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1908.10987>.
- [6] D. Bravo, S. Odabasi, C. Parra, M. Pérez. Torsion and Torsion-Free classes from objects of finite type in Grothendieck categories. *J. Algebra* 608 (2022) 412–444.
- [7] G. Cortiñas, E. Ellis. Isomorphism conjectures with proper coefficients. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 218, (2014), no. 7, 1224–1263.
- [8] D.L. Costa. Parameterizing families of non-Noetherian rings. *Comm. Algebra* 22, no. 10, (1994) 3997–4011.
- [9] I. Dell’Ambrogio, G. Stevenson. On the derived category of a graded commutative noetherian ring. *Journal of Algebra*, 373, (2013), 356–376.
- [10] E. Ellis, R. Parra. K-theory of n -coherent rings *Journal of Algebra and Its Applications*. <https://doi.org/10.1142/S021949882350007X>
- [11] P. Freyd. Representations in Abelian categories. *Proc. Conf. on Categorical Algebra, La Jolla, 1965, Springer (1966), 95–120*.
- [12] J.L. García, P.L. Gómez Sánchez, J. Martínez Hernández. Locally finitely presented categories and functor rings *Osaka J. Math.*, 42, (2005), 137–187.
- [13] J. Gillespie. Models for homotopy categories of injectives and Gorenstein injectives. *Comm. Algebra*, 45 no.6, (2017) 2520–2545.
- [14] A. Neeman. A counterexample to vanishing conjectures for negative K-theory. *Invent. Math.* 225, no. 2, (2021), 427–452.
- [15] C. Parra, M. Saorin, S. Virilli. Torsion Pairs in Categories of Modules over a Preadditive Category. *Bull. Iran. Math. Soc.* 47, (2021), 1135–1171.
- [16] M. Schlichting. Negative K-theory of derived categories. *Math. Z.* 253, no. 1, (2006), 97–134.
- [17] R. Wisbauer. Foundations of module and ring theory. A handbook for study and research. Revised and translated from the 1988 German edition. Algebra, Logic and Applications, 3. Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, Philadelphia, PA, (1991).

Email address: `eellis@fing.edu.uy`

IMERL, FACULTAD DE INGENIERÍA, UNIVERSIDAD DE LA REPÚBLICA, JULIO HERRERA Y REISSIG
565, 11.300, MONTEVIDEO, URUGUAY

Email address: `rparra@fing.edu.uy`

IMERL, FACULTAD DE INGENIERÍA, UNIVERSIDAD DE LA REPÚBLICA, JULIO HERRERA Y REISSIG
565, 11.300, MONTEVIDEO, URUGUAY